arXiv:1203.0734v1 [math.AP] 4 Mar 2012

ON SCHRÖDINGER TYPE OPERATORS WITH UNBOUNDED COEFFICIENTS: GENERATION AND HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES

LUCA LORENZI AND ABDELAZIZ RHANDI

Abstract. We consider the Schrödinger type operator $\mathcal{A} = (1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta - |x|^\beta$, for $\alpha \in [0,2]$ and $\beta \geq 0$. We prove that, for any $p \in (1,\infty)$, the minimal realization of operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generates a strongly continuous analytic semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$.

For $\alpha \in [0,2)$ and $\beta \geq 2$, we then prove some upper estimates for the heat kernel k associated to the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$. As a consequence we obtain an estimate for large $|x|$ of the eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{A}$. Finally, we extend such estimates to a class of divergence type elliptic operators.

1. Introduction

For any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$, let $\mathcal{A}$ be the elliptic operator defined by

$$\mathcal{A}\varphi(x) = a(x)\Delta\varphi(x) - V(x)\varphi(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

?operatore-A?

on smooth functions φ, where $a(x) = 1 + |x|^\alpha$ and $V(x) = |x|^\beta$.

In the case when $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, generation results of analytic semigroups for suitable realizations A_p of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ have been proved in [5, 11]. More specifically, the results in [5] cover the case when $\alpha \in (1,2]$ and show that the realization A_p in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with domain

$$D(A_p) = \left\{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : a|D^2u|,\, a^{1/2}|\nabla u| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\right\},$$

generates a strongly continuous analytic semigroup. For $\alpha > 2$, the generation results depend upon N as it is proved in [11]. More specifically, if $N = 1, 2$ no realization of $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generates a strongly continuous (resp. analytic) semigroup. The same happens if $N \geq 3$ and $p \leq N/(N-2)$. On the other hand, if $N \geq 3$, $p > N/(N-2)$ and $2 < \alpha \leq (p-1)(N-2)$, then the maximal realization A_p of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generates a positive semigroup of contractions, which is also analytic if $\alpha < (p-1)(N-2)$.

Here, we confine ourselves to the case when $\alpha \in [0,2]$. In the first main result of the paper we prove that, for any $1 < p < \infty$, the realization A_p of $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with domain

$$D(A_p) = \left\{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : a|D^2u|,\, a^{1/2}|\nabla u|,\, Vu \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\right\},$$

generates a positive strongly continuous and analytic semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$ for any $\beta \geq 0$. This semigroup is also consistent, irreducible and ultracontractive. We then show that, if $\beta > 0$, $T_p(t)$ is compact for all $t > 0$ and the spectrum $\sigma(A_p)$ is independent of p.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47D07; 35J10, 35K05, 35K10.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger type operators, sectorial operators, generation results, heat kernel.

Due to the local regularity of the coefficients, the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$ admits a heat kernel $k(t,x,y)$. If we denote by $p(t,x,y)$ the heat kernel corresponding to the operator $B=\Delta-|x|^\beta$, then it is known that, for $\beta>2$,

$$p(t,x,y)\leq Ce^{ct^{-b}}\frac{1}{(|x||y|)^{\frac{\beta}{4}+\frac{N-1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{|x|^\gamma}{\gamma}}e^{-\frac{|y|^\gamma}{\gamma}},\qquad 0<t\leq 1,$$

for large $|x|$ and $|y|$, $\gamma=1+\frac{\beta}{2}$, and $b>\frac{\beta+2}{\beta-2}$ (see [3, Cor. 4.5.5 and Cor. 4.5.8]). By providing upper and lower estimates for the ground state of A_p corresponding to the largest eigenvalue λ_0 and adapting the arguments used in [3], we show the heat kernel estimates

$$0<k(t,x,y)\leq\frac{Ke^{\lambda_0 t}e^{ct^{-b}}f_0(x)f_0(y)}{1+|y|^\alpha},\qquad t>0, \tag{1.2}$$?estimates-k?

for $|x|$, $|y|>1$ where

$$f_0(x):=|x|^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{4}-\frac{N-1}{2}}\exp\left(-\int_1^{|x|}\frac{s^{\beta/2}}{(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}\,ds\right),$$

provided that $\alpha\in[0,2)$ and $\beta>2$. Such estimates allow us to describe the behaviour of all eigenfunctions of A_p at infinity.

Finally, thanks to a recent technique developed in [17], we extend estimates (1.2) to more general elliptic operators in divergence form.

We stress that, in the case where $V\equiv 0$, kernel estimates similar to (1.2) have been obtained in [12], even for $\alpha\geq 2$. We also quote [10] where upper and lower estimates for the kernel k have been proved in the case where $\alpha=0$ and $\beta<2$.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we prove the generation results and exploit some of peculiar properties of the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$. Then, in Section 3 we prove upper estimates for the kernel k associated to operator $\mathcal{A}$, when $\alpha\in[0,2)$ and $\beta>2$, and we use them to estimate the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues of the operator A_p. Then, we extend the heat kernel estimates to a more general class of elliptic operators in divergence form. Finally, in the appendix, we collect two technical results which are used in Section 2.

Notation. For any $k\in\mathbb{N}$ (eventually $k=\infty$) we denote by $C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the set of all functions $f:\mathbb{R}^N\to\mathbb{R}$ that are continuously differentiable in $\mathbb{R}^N$ up to k-th order and have compact support (say $\mathrm{supp}(f)$). Moreover, for any bounded function $f:\mathbb{R}^N\to\mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\|f\|_\infty$ its sup-norm, i.e., $\|f\|_\infty=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N}|f(x)|$. If f is smooth enough we set

$$|\nabla f(x)|^2=\sum_{i=1}^N|D_if(x)|^2,\qquad |D^2f(x)|^2=\sum_{i,j=1}^N|D_{ij}f(x)|^2.$$

For any $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and any $r>0$ we denote by $B_r(x_0)\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ the open ball, centered at x_0 with radius r. We simply write B_r when $x_0=0$. χ_E denotes the characteristic function of the (measurable) set E, i.e., $\chi_E(x)=1$ if $x\in E$, $\chi_E(x)=0$ otherwise.

For any $p\in[1,\infty)$ and any positive measure $d\mu$, we simply write L^p_μ instead of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N,d\mu)$. The Euclidean inner product in L^2_μ is denoted by $(\cdot,\cdot)_\mu$. In the particular case when μ is the Lebesgue measure, we keep the classical notation $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p\in[1,\infty)$. Finally, by $x\cdot y$ we denote the Euclidean scalar product of the vectors $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N$.

2. Generation results

For any $\alpha \in [0,2]$ and any $\beta \geq 0$ we denote by A_p the realization in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($p \in (1,\infty)$) of the operator $\mathcal{A}$, defined in (1.1), with domain

$$D(A_p) = \left\{ u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : a|D^2u|,\, a^{1/2}|\nabla u|,\, Vu \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}.$$

We endow $D(A_p)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{D(A_p)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|Vu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|a^{1/2}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|a|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \tag{2.1}$$

?norma-DAp?

for any $u \in D(A_p)$.

2.1. Preliminary results and apriori estimates.

This subsection contains all the technical results that we need to prove the generation results in Theorem 2.5.

Proposition 2.1. [2, Prop. 6.1] *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{B_{\rho(x)}(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ be a covering of $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a Lipschitz continuous function, with Lipschitz constant κ strictly less than $1/2$. Then, there exist a countable subcovering $\{B_{\rho(x_n)}(x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and a natural number $\zeta = \zeta(N,\kappa)$ such that at most ζ among the doubled balls $\{B_{2\rho(x_n)}(x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ overlap.*

Remark 2.2. The previous proposition can be rephrased in terms of characteristic functions as follows: there exist a sequence (x_n) and a natural number ζ such that

$$1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{B_{\rho(x_n)}(x_n)}(x) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{B_{2\rho(x_n)}(x_n)}(x) \leq \zeta, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Proposition 2.3. *Fix $p \in (1,\infty)$, and let $q, W : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be two functions with the following properties:*

- (i) *$q \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ and there exist two positive constants r and κ such that $|\nabla q| \leq \kappa q^{1/2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r$. Further, $q(x) \geq q_0 > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;*
- (ii) *$W \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$, $W(x) \geq w_0 > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and there exist two constants $c_{1,p} > 0$ and $c_{2,p} \in (0, 4/(p-1))$ such that*

$$|\nabla \Xi(x)|^2 \leq c_{1,p}\Xi(x)^2 + c_{2,p}\Xi(x)^3, \qquad |x| \geq r, \tag{2.2}$$

?stima-okazawa-0?

where $\Xi = q^{-1}W$. Further $0 < \xi_0 := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \Xi(x)$.

Then, there exist three positive constants ε_0, C (depending on κ, $c_{1,p}$, $c_{2,p}$, ξ_0, as well as on $\|q\|_{C^1(B_{2r}\setminus B_r)}$, $\|W\|_{C^1(B_{2r}\setminus B_r)}$) and C_ε (depending also on ε and blowing up as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$) such that

$$\|q^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \varepsilon \|q\Delta u - Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \tag{2.3}$$

?stima-interp-1?

and

$$\|q|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|q\Delta u - Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right), \tag{2.4}$$

?stima-2?

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ and any $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $q^{1/2}|\nabla u|$, $q|D^2u|$, $Wu \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. In view of Proposition A.1 we can limit ourselves to proving (2.3) and (2.4) when $u \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Being rather long, we split the proof into some steps. Throughout the proof p is arbitrarily fixed in $(1,\infty)$.

Step 1. Let us prove that

$$\|\,|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_p \|\Delta u - \tilde{\Xi} u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \tag{2.5}$$

?hessiano-1?

for any $u \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and some positive constant C_p. Here, $\tilde{\Xi} = \varphi + (1-\varphi)\Xi$, where φ is any smooth function such that $\chi_{B_{\tilde{r}}} \leq \varphi \leq \chi_{B_{2\tilde{r}}}$, and $\tilde{r} = \max\{r, 1\}$. Note that $\tilde{\Xi}(x) \geq \tilde{\xi}_0 := \min\{1, \xi_0\}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We claim that

$$|\nabla \tilde{\Xi}(x)|^2 \leq c'_{1,p}\tilde{\Xi}(x)^2 + c'_{2,p}\tilde{\Xi}(x)^3, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.6}$$

?stima-okazawa-2?

for some constants $c'_{2,p} \in (0, 4/(p-1))$ and $c'_{1,p} > 0$. Clearly, estimate (2.6) holds true in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2\tilde{r}}$, by virtue of (2.2), and in $B_{\tilde{r}}$, since $\nabla\Xi$ therein identically vanishes. For any $x \in B_{2\tilde{r}} \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}$ we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla\tilde{\Xi}(x)|^2 =&|\nabla\varphi(x)(1-\Xi(x)) + (1-\varphi(x))\nabla\Xi(x)|^2\\ \le& 2(\| \,|\nabla\varphi|\, \|_\infty^2 \|\Xi - 1\|^2_{L^\infty(B_{2\tilde{r}}\setminus B_{\tilde{r}})} + \| \,|\nabla\Xi|\, \|^2_{L^\infty(B_{2\tilde{r}}\setminus B_{\tilde{r}})})\tilde{\xi}_0^{-2}\tilde{\Xi}(x)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, inequality (2.6) follows in the whole of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $c'_{2,p} = c_{2,p}$ and

$$c'_{1,p} = \max\{c_{1,p}, 2(\| \,|\nabla\varphi|\, \|_\infty^2 \|\Xi - 1\|^2_{L^\infty(B_{2\tilde{r}}\setminus B_{\tilde{r}})} + \| \,|\nabla\Xi|\, \|^2_{L^\infty(B_{2\tilde{r}}\setminus B_{\tilde{r}})})\tilde{\xi}_0^{-2}\}.$$

Thanks to (2.6) we can apply [14, Lemma 1.4, Thm. 2.1], which yield

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \frac{4}{4-(p-1)c_{2,p}}\|\Delta u - \tilde{\Xi}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \left(\frac{(p-1)c'_{1,p}}{4-(p-1)c_{2,p}}\right)\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.7}$$?delta?

To complete the proof of estimate (2.5) we observe that, in view of (2.7) and the well-known Calderon-Zygmund inequality (see e.g., [6, Thm. 9.19]), it suffices to show that, for any $p \in (1,\infty)$, there exists a positive constant c_p, independent of u, such that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le c_p\|\Delta u - \tilde{\Xi}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.8}$$?invert?

Set $f := \Delta u - \tilde{\Xi}u$ and multiply both sides of the equation $\Delta u - \tilde{\Xi}u = f$ by $u|u|^{p-2}$, where we assume without loss of generality that u is real. Indeed, if u is complex-valued, (2.8) will follow arguing on its real and imaginary parts. Now, for any $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right)^p \le& 2^{p-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|f|^p + |g|^p)dx\\ \le& \max\{2^{p/2}, 2^{p-1}\}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|f|^2 + |g|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}dx.\end{aligned}$$

We thus get (2.8) with c_p being replaced by $c_p\max\{\sqrt{2}, 2^{1-1/p}\}$.

A straightforward computation, based on an integration by parts (in the case when $p \ge 2$) and on [9, Thm. 3.1] (in the case when $p \in (1,2)$) shows that

$$-(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^{p-2}|\nabla u|^2\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx - \tilde{\xi}_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^p dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} fu|u|^{p-2}dx,$$

which yields (2.8) with $c_p = \tilde{\xi}_0^{-1}$.

Step 2. Let us now prove estimate (2.3) by a covering argument. The starting point is the well-known interpolation inequality (see e.g., [19])

$$\| \,|\nabla v|\, \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le c_N\|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\| \,|D^2 v|\, \|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \qquad v \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{2.9}$$?interp?

which, in view of (2.5), allows us to estimate

$$\| \,|\nabla u|\, \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le c'_{N,p}\|\Delta u - \tilde{\Xi}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \tag{2.10}$$?1?

for some positive constant $c'_{N,p}$, independent of $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We can now apply the covering argument to estimate (2.10). For this purpose, let $\tilde{q} := 2^{-4}\varphi + (1-\varphi)q$, where φ is as above. Arguing as in Step 1 we can easily show that $|\nabla\tilde{q}(x)| \le \tilde{\kappa}\tilde{q}(x)^{1/2}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where

$$\tilde{\kappa} = \max\{1, \kappa, q_0^{-1/2}(\| \,|\nabla\varphi|\, \|_\infty\|q - 2^{-4}\|_{L^\infty(B_{2\tilde{r}}\setminus B_{\tilde{r}})} + \| \,|\nabla q|\, \|_{L^\infty(B_{2\tilde{r}}\setminus B_{\tilde{r}})})\}.$$

Further, we introduce the function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}}\tilde{q}(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Clearly, ρ is a Lipschitz continuous function, with Lipschitz constant not greater than 1/4. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{q}(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \tilde{q}(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{3}{2}\tilde{q}(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad x \in B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0) \tag{2.11}$$?rho-1?

and

$$\rho(x) \le \frac{1}{4}|x| + \frac{1}{8}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This latter inequality implies that

$$B_{\rho(x_0)}(x_0) \subset B_{(1+10|x_0|)/8}, \qquad B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{-1/4+|x_0|/2}, \tag{2.12}$$?rho-2?

for any $|x_0| \ge 1/2$.

Now, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we set $\vartheta_{x_0}(x) = \vartheta(\frac{x-x_0}{\rho(x_0)})$, where $\vartheta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies $\chi_{B_1} \le \vartheta \le \chi_{B_2}$. Moreover, to fix the notation, we set $L := \|\,|\nabla\vartheta|\,\|_\infty + \|\Delta\vartheta\|_\infty$. Applying estimate (2.10) to the function $\vartheta_{x_0}u$ and using Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{q}(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\\
\le&\|\tilde{q}(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla(\vartheta_{x_0}u)|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\
\le&c'_{N,p}\|\vartheta_{x_0}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\tilde{q}(x_0)\Delta(\vartheta_{x_0}u) - \tilde{q}(x_0)\tilde{\Xi}\vartheta_{x_0}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\le&c'_{N,p}\bigg(\varepsilon\|\tilde{q}(x_0)\Delta(\vartheta_{x_0}u) - \tilde{q}(x_0)\tilde{\Xi}\vartheta_{x_0}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\|\vartheta_{x_0}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\bigg)\\
\le&c'_{N,p}\bigg(\varepsilon\|\tilde{q}(x_0)\Delta u - \tilde{q}(x_0)\tilde{\Xi}u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))} + \frac{2L}{\rho(x_0)}\varepsilon\|\tilde{q}(x_0)|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\\
&\qquad + \frac{L}{\rho(x_0)^2}\varepsilon\|\tilde{q}(x_0)\,u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\bigg),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Now, from (2.11) we deduce that

- $\|\tilde{q}(x_0)\Delta u - \tilde{q}(x_0)\tilde{\Xi}u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))} \le 4\|\tilde{q}\Delta u - \tilde{q}\tilde{\Xi}u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}$;
- $$\begin{aligned}\frac{2L}{\rho(x_0)}\|\tilde{q}(x_0)|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))} &= 4L\tilde{\kappa}\|\tilde{q}(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\\ &\le 8L\tilde{\kappa}\|\tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))};\end{aligned}$$
- $\frac{L}{\rho(x_0)^2}\|\tilde{q}(x_0)u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))} = 4L\tilde{\kappa}^2\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\\
\le&\frac{3}{2}\|\tilde{q}(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\\
\le&6c'_{N,p}\bigg\{\varepsilon\|\tilde{q}\,\Delta u - \tilde{q}\tilde{\Xi}u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))} + 2\varepsilon L\tilde{\kappa}\|\tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\\
&\qquad + \left(\varepsilon L\tilde{\kappa}^2 + \frac{1}{16\varepsilon}\right)\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\bigg\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.1 there exist a sequence (x_n) and a positive number ζ such that $\mathcal{F}' = \{B_{\rho(x_n)}(x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a covering of $\mathbb{R}^N$ and the intersection of more than ζ balls from $\mathcal{F}'$ is empty. From (2.12) it is immediate to conclude that $\{B_{\rho(x_n)}(x_n) : |x_n| \ge 6\tilde{r}\}$ is a covering of $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{8\tilde{r}}$ and $B_{2\rho(x_n)}(x_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2\tilde{r}}$ for $|x_n| \ge 6\tilde{r}$ and any $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Taking Remark 2.2 into account and recalling that $\tilde q=q$ and $\tilde q\tilde\Xi=W$ in $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{2\tilde r}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{8\tilde r})}\le& c''_{N,p}\varepsilon^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|q\Delta u-Wu|^p\sum_{|x_n|\ge 6\tilde r}\chi_{B_{2\rho(x_n)}(x_n)}dx\\ &+c''_{N,p}(\varepsilon L\tilde\kappa)^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}q^{\frac p2}|\nabla u|^p\sum_{|x_n|\ge 6\tilde r}\chi_{B_{2\rho(x_n)}(x_n)}dx\\ &+c''_{N,p}\bigg(\varepsilon L\tilde\kappa^2+\frac{1}{16\varepsilon}\bigg)^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^p\sum_{|x_n|\ge 6\tilde r}\chi_{B_{2\rho(x_n)}(x_n)}dx\\ \le& c''_{N,p}\zeta\varepsilon^p\|q\Delta u-Wu\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{2\tilde r})}\\ &+c''_{N,p}\zeta(\varepsilon L\tilde\kappa)^p\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{2\tilde r})}\\ &+c''_{N,p}\zeta\bigg(\varepsilon L\tilde\kappa^2+\frac{1}{16\varepsilon}\bigg)^p\|u\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{2\tilde r})}.\end{aligned}$$

Due to the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon>0$, from the above estimate we get

$$\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{8\tilde r})}\le\varepsilon\|q\Delta u-Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\varepsilon\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+C_\varepsilon\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},\tag{2.13}$$
?stima-outer?

for any $\varepsilon>0$ and some positive constant C_ε, possibly blowing up as $\varepsilon\to0^+$.

To extend the previous inequality to the whole of $\mathbb{R}^N$ we use the classical interior L^p-estimates (see e.g., [6, Thm. 9.11])

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(B_{8\tilde r})}\le K_1(\|q\Delta u-Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}),\tag{2.14}$$
?apriori?

and the interpolative estimate

$$\|\,|\nabla v|\,\|_{L^p(B_{8\tilde r})}\le K_2\|v\|^{\frac12}_{L^p(B_{8\tilde r})}\|v\|^{\frac12}_{W^{2,p}(B_{8\tilde r})},\qquad v\in W^{2,p}(B_{8\tilde r}),$$

which hold for some positive constants K_1 and K_2 independent of u and v, respectively, to infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{8\tilde r})}\le&\|q^{\frac12}\|_{L^\infty(B_{8\tilde r})}\|\,|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{8\tilde r})}\\ \le&K_3\|u\|^{\frac12}_{L^p(B_{8\tilde r})}\big(\|q\Delta u-Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\big)^{\frac12}\\ \le&K_3\|u\|^{\frac12}_{L^p(B_{8\tilde r})}\|q\Delta u-Wu\|^{\frac12}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+K_3\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\ \le&\varepsilon\|q\Delta u-Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+C'_\varepsilon\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$
?stima-inner?

for any $\varepsilon>0$ and some positive constants K_3 and C'_ε, this latter one possibly blowing up as $\varepsilon\to0^+$. From estimates (2.13) and (2.15) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le&\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{8\tilde r})}+\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{8\tilde r})}\\ \le&2\varepsilon\|q\Delta u-Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+C''_\varepsilon\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\varepsilon\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},\end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$ and some positive constant C''_ε possibly blowing up as $\varepsilon\to0^+$. Hence, taking $\varepsilon<1$, we immediately get (2.3).

Step 3. To conclude the proof, let us prove estimate (2.4). From (2.5) applied to the function $u\vartheta_{x_0}$ we deduce that

$$\|q(x_0)|D^2(\vartheta_{x_0}u)|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le C_p\|q(x_0)\Delta(\vartheta_{x_0}u)-q(x_0)\tilde\Xi\vartheta_{x_0}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Therefore, taking (2.11) into account, and arguing as in the proof of Step 2, we first get

$$\|\tilde q|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le \frac{9}{4}\|\tilde{q}(x_0)|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\\
&\le K_4\Big(\|\tilde{q}(x_0)\Delta u-\tilde{q}(x_0)\tilde{\Xi}u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\\
&\qquad +\|\tilde{q}(x_0)\nabla u\cdot\nabla\vartheta_{x_0}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|\tilde{q}(x_0)u\Delta\vartheta_{x_0}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\Big)\\
&\le K_5\left(\|\tilde{q}\Delta u-\tilde{q}\tilde{\Xi}u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}+\|\tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}+\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2\rho(x_0)}(x_0))}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, then, applying the same covering argument as above, we conclude that

$$
\|q|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{8\tilde{r}})}\le K_6\left(\|q\Delta u-Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|q^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right). \tag{2.16}
$$
?stima-4?

Here, K_4, K_5 and K_6 are positive constant, independent of u. Combining (2.3) and (2.16), we get

$$
\|q|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{8\tilde{r}})}\le K_7\left(\|q\Delta u-Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right), \tag{2.17}
$$
?stima-5?

for some positive constant K_7, independent of u. Estimate (2.4) now follows from (2.17) and (2.14) (with u replacing v). ☐

The following result is now a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2.3.

Corollary 2.4. *The norm of $D(A_p)$ defined in* (2.1) *is equivalent to the graph norm of $D(A_p)$, i.e., to the norm defined by $\|u\|_{D(A_p)}=\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|A_pu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ for any $u\in D(A_p)$.*

2.2. **Proof of the sectoriality of operator A_p.** We can now prove that operator A_p is sectorial in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p\in(1,\infty)$.

Theorem 2.5. *For any $p\in(1,\infty)$ the operator A_p generates a strongly continuous analytic semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\ge0}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is also positive and consistent.*

Proof. Being rather long, we split the proof into several steps.

Step 1. For any $\sigma\in(0,1)$ let us introduce the functions q_σ and $V_{1,\sigma}$ defined by

$$
q_\sigma(x)=\frac{1+|x|^\alpha}{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\alpha)},\qquad V_{1,\sigma}(x)=\frac{|x|^\beta+1}{1+\sigma(|x|^\beta+1)},\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N.
$$

As it is immediately seen, q_σ and $V_{1,\sigma}$ are bounded in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and satisfy

$$
q_\sigma(x)\ge\frac{1}{2},\ \ V_{1,\sigma}(x)\ge\frac{1}{2},
$$

for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and any $\sigma\in(0,1)$. By well-known results (see e.g., [7, Chpt. 3]), for any $\sigma\in(0,1)$ and any $p\in(1,\infty)$, the realization $Q_{\sigma,p}$ of operator $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma=q_\sigma\Delta-V_{1,\sigma}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as a domain, is the generator of a strongly continuous, analytic semigroup. Here we are aimed at proving the estimates

$$
\|q_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le\varepsilon\|Q_{\sigma,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+C_\varepsilon\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \tag{2.18}
$$
?stima-a?

$$
\|q_\sigma|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le C\left(\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|Q_{\sigma,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right), \tag{2.19}
$$
?stima-b?

for any $\varepsilon>0$, any $u\in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and some positive constants C and C_ε, independent of $\sigma\in(0,1)$, the latter constant possibly blowing up as $\varepsilon\to0^+$. For this purpose we prove that, for any $\sigma\in(0,1)$, functions q_σ and $V_{1,\sigma}$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 with $r=1/2$ and the constants therein appearing being independent of σ. More specifically, we should establish the following facts:

(i) there exists a constant $c_1>0$, independent of $\sigma\in(0,1)$, such that

$$
|\nabla\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x)|^2\le c_1\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x)^2,\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{1/2}, \tag{2.20}
$$
?okazawa-3?

where

$$\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x) := \frac{V_{1,\sigma}(x)}{q_\sigma(x)} = \frac{1+|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha}\cdot\frac{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\alpha)}{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\beta)}, \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N;$$

(ii) there exists $\kappa>0$, independent of $\sigma\in(0,1)$, such that

$$|\nabla q_\sigma(x)| \le \kappa q_\sigma(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{1/2}. \tag{2.21}$$

?estim-kappa?

Let us begin by checking property (i). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x) =&\frac{(\beta-\alpha)|x|^{\alpha+\beta}+\beta|x|^\beta-\alpha|x|^\alpha}{|x|^2(1+|x|^\alpha)^2}\cdot\frac{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\alpha)}{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\beta)}x\\ &+\sigma\frac{1+|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha}\cdot\frac{\alpha|x|^\alpha(1+\sigma(1+|x|^\beta))-\beta|x|^\beta(1+\sigma(1+|x|^\alpha))}{|x|^2(1+\sigma(1+|x|^\beta))^2}x,\end{aligned}$$

for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}$. Since

$$\min\left\{1,\frac{2+|x|^\alpha}{2+|x|^\beta}\right\}\le\frac{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\alpha)}{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\beta)}, \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N,\ \ \sigma\in(0,1),$$

it follows easily that $\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x)\ge 1/2$ for any $x\in B_1$ and any $\sigma\in(0,1)$. Hence,

$$|\nabla\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x)|\le 4|\beta-\alpha|+6\alpha+8\beta\le 4(2|\beta-\alpha|+3\beta+4\alpha)\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x),$$

for any $x\in B_1\setminus B_{1/2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x)|\le&\left(|\beta-\alpha|\frac{|x|^{\beta-1}}{1+|x|^\alpha}+\beta\frac{|x|^{\beta-1}}{1+|x|^\alpha}+\frac{\alpha}{|x|(1+|x|^\alpha)}\right)\frac{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\alpha)}{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\beta)}\\ &+\alpha\frac{1+|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha}\cdot\frac{1}{|x|}\cdot\frac{\sigma|x|^\alpha}{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\beta)}+\beta\frac{1+|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha}\cdot\frac{1}{|x|}\cdot\frac{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\alpha)}{1+\sigma(1+|x|^\beta)}\\ \le&(|\beta-\alpha|+2\alpha+2\beta)\frac{\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x)}{|x|}\\ \le&(|\beta-\alpha|+2\alpha+2\beta)\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x),\end{aligned}$$

if $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1$. Hence,

$$|\nabla\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x)|\le 4(2|\beta-\alpha|+3\beta+4\alpha)\Xi_{1,\sigma}(x), \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_{1/2}.$$

Thus, estimate (2.20) follows with $c_1=16(2|\beta-\alpha|+3\beta+4\alpha)^2$.

Finally, a straightforward computation shows that (2.21) holds true with $\kappa=\alpha 2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. Estimates (2.18) and (2.19) are thus proved.

Step 2. Here we prove that, for any $p\in(1,\infty)$, there exist $\omega_0\in\mathbb{R}$ and $M_p>0$ such that

$$|\lambda|\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le M_p\|\lambda u-Q_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \tag{2.22}$$

?hille-yosida?

for any $u\in D(A_p)$, any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge\omega_0$ and any $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

We begin by considering the case when $\alpha\in[1,2]$. We fix $p\in(1,\infty)$, $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$, $u\in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and set $f:=\lambda u-Q_{p,1/n}u$. We multiply both sides of this equation by $\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}$ and integrate by parts, taking [9, Thm. 3.1] into account. We get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}f\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}dx =&\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^pdx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V_{1,1/n}|u|^pdx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}q_{1/n}|u|^{p-2}\overline{u}\Delta u dx\\ =&\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^pdx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V_{1,1/n}|u|^pdx\\ &+(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}q_{1/n}|u|^{p-4}|\mathrm{Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|^2\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}q_{1/n}|u|^{p-4}|\mathrm{Im}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|^2\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ i(p-2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_{1/n}|u|^{p-4}\mathrm{Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)\cdot \mathrm{Im}(\overline{u}\nabla u)\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx\\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla q_{1/n}\cdot \nabla u\,|u|^{p-2}\overline{u}dx.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.23}
$$

?fiore?

Taking the real part of the first and last side of (2.23) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathrm{Re}(f\overline{u})|u|^{p-2}dx =&\mathrm{Re}\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^p dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V_{1,1/n}|u|^p dx\\
&+(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_{1/n}|u|^{p-4}|\mathrm{Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|^2\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_{1/n}|u|^{p-4}|\mathrm{Im}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|^2\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla q_{1/n}\cdot \mathrm{Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)u|u|^{p-4}\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

For notational convenience we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
A &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_{1/n}|u|^{p-4}|\mathrm{Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|^2\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx,\\
B &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_{1/n}|u|^{p-4}|\mathrm{Im}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|^2\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that $|\nabla q_{1/n}|\le 2|q_{1/n}|^{1/2}$ and using Hölder and Young inequalities we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-1}\ge&(\mathrm{Re}\lambda)\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p+(p-1)A+B\\
&-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_{1/n}^{\frac12}|\mathrm{Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)||u|^{p-4}\overline{u}dx\\
\ge&(\mathrm{Re}\lambda)\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p+(p-1)A+B-2A^{\frac12}\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac p2}\\
\ge&\left(\mathrm{Re}\lambda-\frac{2}{p-1}\right)\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p+\frac{p-1}{2}A+B.
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus deduce that

$$
\frac12(\mathrm{Re}\lambda)\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},\tag{2.24}
$$

?A?

$$
A\le\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{p-2}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},\tag{2.25}
$$

?B?

$$
B\le\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{p-1}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},\tag{2.26}
$$

?C?

for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge 4(p-1)^{-1}$. Now, taking the imaginary part of (2.23), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathrm{Im}(f\overline{u})|u|^{p-2}dx =&(\mathrm{Im}\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^p dx\\
&+(p-2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_{1/n}|u|^{p-4}\mathrm{Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)\cdot\mathrm{Im}(\overline{u}\nabla u)\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla q_{1/n}\cdot \mathrm{Im}(\overline{u}\nabla u)u|u|^{p-4}\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+|p-2|A^{\frac12}B^{\frac12}+2A^{\frac12}\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac p2}.
$$

Using (2.24)-(2.26) we obtain

$$|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C_p\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge\frac{4}{p-1}. \tag{2.27}$$

?imaginary?

From (2.24) and (2.27), estimate (2.22) follows at once with $\omega_0 = 4(p-1)^{-1}$.

In the case when $\alpha\in[0,1)$, the function q_σ does not belong to $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and, consequently, we cannot control $\nabla q_{1/n}$ by $q_{1/n}^{1/2}$ since the gradient of $q_{1/n}$ blows up as x tends to 0.

To prove estimate (2.22) we regularize the function $q_{1/n}$ in a neighborhood of the origin by introducing the function $\hat{q}_{1/n} := \varphi + (1-\varphi)q_{1/n}$, where φ is a smooth function such that $\chi_{B_1}\le\varphi\le\chi_{B_2}$. The arguments used above apply to the realization $\hat{Q}_{1/n,p}$ of the operator $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \hat{q}_{1/n}\Delta - V$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as a domain, since $|\nabla\hat{q}_{1/n}|\le\hat{\kappa}\hat{q}_{1/n}^{1/2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, for some positive constant $\hat{\kappa}$ independent of n. We thus deduce that there exist $\hat{\omega}_0>0$ and $\hat{M}_p>0$ such that

$$|\lambda|\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le\hat{M}_p\|\lambda u-\hat{Q}_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

for any $u\in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge\hat{\omega}_0$ and any $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Since $\hat{q}_{1/n}=q_{1/n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_2$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|\lambda|\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le&\|\lambda u-\hat{Q}_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(B_2)}+\|\lambda u-Q_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_2)}\\ \le&\|(\hat{q}_{1/n}-q_{1/n})\Delta u\|_{L^p(B_2)}+\|\lambda u-Q_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(B_2)}\\ &+\|\lambda u-Q_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_2)}\\ \le&\|\hat{q}_{1/n}-q_{1/n}\|_\infty\|\Delta u\|_{L^p(B_2)}+2\|\lambda u-Q_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\ \le&4\|\Delta u\|_{L^p(B_2)}+2\|\lambda u-Q_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

?mart-0?

We now apply estimate (A.1) with $r=2$ to the operator $L_n=\tilde{q}_{1/n}\Delta-\tilde{V}$, where $\tilde{q}_{1/n}=q_{1/n}\psi+1-\psi$, $\tilde{V}=\psi V$, and ψ is any smooth function such that $\chi_{B_4}\le\psi\le\chi_{B_8}$. Note that the sup-norm and the modulus of continuity of the function $\tilde{q}_{1/n}$ can be estimated independently of n. So, we can determine two positive constants $\tilde{\omega}_{0,p}$ and K_p, independent of n and u, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta u\|_{L^p(B_2)}\le&K_p\left(\|\lambda u-Q_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(B_4)}+\|u\|_{L^p(B_4)}\right)\\ \le&K_p\left(\|\lambda u-Q_{1/n,p}u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge\tilde{\omega}_0$, which replaced in (2.28) yields (2.22).

Step 3. Here, we fix $p\in(1,\infty)$ and prove that the equation $\lambda u-A_pu=f$ admits a unique solution $u\in D(A_p)$ for any $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with real part not less than ω_0+1. As a first step, we observe that $\rho(Q_{1/n,p})\supset\Sigma:=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}:\mathrm{Re}\lambda>\omega_0\}$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Indeed, as we have already remarked, $Q_{1/n,p}$ is a sectorial operator; hence, its resolvent set contains a right-halfline. Such a right-halfline contains Σ. Indeed, it is well-known that the function $\lambda\mapsto\|R(\lambda,Q_{1/n,p})\|_{L(L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))}$ blows up as λ tends to the boundary of $\rho(Q_{1/n,p})$, and (2.22) shows that this cannot be case at any point of Σ.

Now, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda\in\Sigma$, we denote by u_n the unique solution to the equation $\lambda u_n-Q_{1/n,p}u_n=f$ in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (2.22) the sequence (u_n) is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $Q_{1/n,p}u_n=\lambda u_n-f$ also the sequence $(Q_{1/n,p}u_n)$ is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, by (2.18) and (2.19) we can infer that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|q_{1/n}^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u_n|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|q_{1/n}|D^2u_n|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\le C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},\tag{2.29}$$

?stima-ve?

for some positive constant C, independent of f. Recalling that $q_{1/n}$ is bounded from below by 1/2, we easily deduce that the sequence (u_n) is bounded in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. A classical compactness argument shows that, up to a subsequence, u_n converges to some function $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, weakly in $W^{2,p}(B_R)$ and strongly in $W^{1,p}(B_R)$, for any $R > 0$. Again, up to a subsequence, we can assume that u_n and ∇u_n converge, respectively, to u and ∇u, pointwise in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Since $\Delta u_n = q_{1/n}^{-1}(\lambda u_n - f + V_{1/n} u_n)$ and $q_{1/n}$ and $V_{1,1/n}$ converge, respectively, to a and $V + 1$ locally uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Δu_n converges in $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to the function $a^{-1}((\lambda + 1)u - f + Vu)$. But we already know that, for any $R > 0$, Δu_n converges weakly in $L^p(B_R)$ to Δu. Hence, we conclude that the function u solves the equation $(\lambda + 1)u - \mathcal{A}u = f$. Finally, from (2.29) we get

$$\|a^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|a|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

By difference, $Vu = f + a\Delta u - \lambda u$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, $u \in D(A_p)$. We have so proved that the equation $\lambda u - A_p u = f$ admits, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with ${\rm Re}\lambda > \omega_0 + 1$, a solution $u \in D(A_p)$. Function u is the unique solution to the equation $\lambda u - A_p u = f$ in $D(A_p)$. Indeed, if $u \in D(A_p)$ solves the equation $\lambda u - A_p u = 0$, then $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\lambda a^{-1}u - \Delta u + a^{-1}Vu = 0$. Multiplying both sides of this equality by $\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}$ and integrating by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 =& \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{a} dx + (p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |{\rm Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|^2|u|^{p-4}\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx\\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |{\rm Im}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|^2|u|^{p-4}\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx\\ &+ i(p-2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} {\rm Re}(\overline{u}\nabla u)\cdot{\rm Im}(\overline{u}\nabla u)|u|^{p-4}\chi_{\{u\neq 0\}}dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a^{-1}V|u|^p dx.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the real part and recalling that ${\rm Re}\lambda > 0$, we conclude that $u \equiv 0$. Hence $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : {\rm Re}\lambda \ge \omega_0 + 1\} \subset \rho(A_p)$.

Finally, letting n tend to ∞ in (2.22) gives

$$|\lambda|\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le M_p\|\lambda u - A_p u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

for any $\alpha \in [0, 2]$, any $u \in D(A_p)$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with ${\rm Re}\lambda \ge \omega_0 + 1$. By [7, Prop. 2.1.11], we conclude that A_p is a sectorial operator and, therefore, it generates an analytic semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Such a semigroup is strongly continuous. Indeed, $D(A_p)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ since it contains $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Step 4. To complete the proof we check that the semigroups $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ preserve positivity and are all consistent. In view of the exponential formula

$$T_p(t)f = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\frac{n}{t}R\left(\frac{n}{t}, A_p\right)\right]^n f,$$

which holds for any $t > 0$, any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where the limit is meant in the norm topology of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [18, Chpt. 1, Thm. 8.3]), it suffices to prove that the resolvent families $\mathcal{R}_p := \{R(\lambda, A_p) : \lambda > 0\}$ ($p \in (1,\infty)$) are consistent and preserve positivity. The positivity of the resolvent family $\mathcal{R}_p$ for any $p \in (1,\infty)$ follows immediately if we recall that, for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $R(\lambda, A_p)f$ is the limit in $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of the sequence of functions $(R(\lambda, Q_{1/n,p})f)$ and, by classical results, each operator $R(\lambda, Q_{p,1/n})$ preserves positivity.

Similarly, since, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the resolvent families $\{R(\lambda, Q_{1/n,p}) : \lambda > 0\}$ ($p \in (1,\infty)$) are consistent, for any $p, q \in (1,\infty)$, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the function $R(\lambda, Q_{1/n,p})f$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $R(\lambda, A_{1/n,p})f = R(\lambda, A_{1/n,q})f$. Letting n tend to ∞ we conclude that $R(\lambda, A_p)f = R(\lambda, A_q)f$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

2.3. Some additional properties of the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ and the spectrum of operator A_p.

To begin with, we state some remarkable properties of the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$.

Proposition 2.6. *For any $p \in (1,\infty)$, any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, any $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and any $t > 0$, the function $T_p(t)f$ belongs to $C_b^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In particular, the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ is ultracontractive.*

Proof. Fix $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and $t > 0$. Since $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ is an analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $T_p(t/2)f \in D(A_p) \subset W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $p \ge N/2$, then $T_p(t/2)f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [p,\infty)$. Since the semigroups $(T_r(t))_{t\ge 0}$ $(r \in (1,\infty))$ are consistent, $T_p(t)f = T_q(t/2)T_p(t/2)f \in D(A_q)$. Choosing q such that $W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ embeds in $C_b^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we conclude that $T_p(t)f \in C_b^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Let us now suppose that $p < N/2$. Consider the sequence (r_n), defined by $r_n = 1/p - 2n/N$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $q_n = 1/r_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let n_0 be the smallest integer such that $r_{n_0} \le 2/N$; note that $r_{n_0} > 0$. Then, $T_p(t/(n_0+2))f \in D(A_p) \subset L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem. Hence, $T_p(2t/(n_0+2))f = T_{q_1}(t/(n_0+2))T_p(t/(n_0+2))f \in D(A_{q_1}) \subset L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Iterating this argument, we obtain that $T_p((n_0+1)t/(n_0+2))f \in D(A_{q_{n_0}})$, and we can conclude that $T_p(t)f \in C_b^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ arguing as in the previous case. The last statement of the proposition is now immediate. ☐

It is well-known that one can associate a semigroup $(T(t))_{t\ge 0}$ of bounded operators in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with operator $\mathcal{A}$. Since the function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by $\varphi(x) = 1 + |x|^2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, is a Lyapunov function for the operator $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e., $\mathcal{A}\varphi \le c\varphi$), for any $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $T(t)f$ is the value at $t > 0$ of the unique solution $u \in C([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,2}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} D_t u(t,x) = \mathcal{A}u(t,x), & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0,x) = f(x), & \qquad\quad\; x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{2.30}$$

?pb-omogeneo?

which is bounded in each strip $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Actually, since the potential term in operator $\mathcal{A}$ is nonpositive in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $T(\cdot)f$ is bounded in $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Finally, we stress that $(T(t))_{t\ge 0}$ is strong Feller and irreducible, i.e., each operator $T(t)$ maps $B_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (the space of all the bounded and Borel measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$) into $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $T(t)\chi_E > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ for any measurable set E with positive Lebesgue measure. We refer the reader to [1, 8] for the proofs of the claimed results and for further details.

Proposition 2.7. *The semigroups $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ and $(T(t))_{t\ge 0}$ agree on $B_b(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \in (1,\infty)$. Moreover, for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and any $t > 0$, the function $T_p(t)f$ belongs to $C^{2+\gamma}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\gamma = \min\{\alpha,\beta\}$, if $\alpha,\beta > 0$, and $\gamma = \max\{\alpha,\beta\}$, if $\alpha\beta = 0$.*

Proof. Fix $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset D(A_q)$ for any $q \in (1,\infty)$. Since $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ is a strongly continuous analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for any $p \in (1,\infty)$, and $D(A_p)$ continuously embeds in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the function $v = T_p(\cdot)f$ is in $C^\infty((0,\infty); W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C([0,\infty); W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and solves the Cauchy problem (2.30). Taking Proposition 2.6 and the Sobolev embedding theorem into account, we can infer that v belongs to $C^\infty((0,\infty); C_b^{1+\theta}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\theta \in (0,1)$. In particular, $v \in C^{1+\theta}_{\rm loc}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ for any θ as above. By difference, $a\Delta v - Vv \in C^\theta_{\rm loc}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$. As a byproduct, we deduce that $\Delta v \in C^\theta_{\rm loc}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ and, by elliptic regularity, $D_{ij}v \in C((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $i,j = 1,\ldots,N$. Hence, $v \in C([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,2}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a classical solution to problem (2.30) and is bounded in each strip $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$. By uniqueness, $T_p(\cdot)f = T(\cdot)f$.

Let us now assume that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap B_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the function $f_n = \vartheta_n(\varrho_n \star f)$, where (ϱ_n) is a standard sequence of mollifiers, (ϑ_n) is a (standard) sequence of cut-off functions such that $\chi_{B_n} \le \vartheta_n \le \chi_{B_{2n}}$ for any

$n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known that the sequence (f_n) converges to f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and pointwise in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to \infty$. Clearly, $T_p(t)f_n$ tends to $T_p(t)f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as $n \to \infty$, for any $t > 0$. Moreover, since $\|f_n\|_\infty \le \|f\|_\infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(T(t))_{t\ge 0}$ is strong Feller, $T(t)f_n$ converges to $T(t)f$ as $n \to \infty$, pointwise in $\mathbb{R}^N$, for any $t > 0$ (see e.g., [8, Cor. 4.7]). Using the semigroup property and [8, Prop. 4.6] (or [1, Prop. 2.2.9]) we can infer that $T(t)f_n$ converges to $T(t)f$, locally uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to \infty$, for any $t > 0$. We thus conclude that $T_p(t)f \equiv T(t)f$ for any $t > 0$, and we are done.

To complete the proof we observe that, for any $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and any $t > 0$, the function $T(t)g$ belongs to $C^{2+\gamma}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where γ is as in the statement of the theorem. Since $T_p(t/2)f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Proposition 2.6, we obtain that $T_p(t)f = T(t/2)T_p(t/2)f \in C^{2+\gamma}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as it has been claimed. ☐

Corollary 2.8. *For any $p \in (1,\infty)$ the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ is irreducible, i.e., for any nonnegative and non identically vanishing function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and any $t > 0$, it holds that $T_p(t)f > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. Since the semigroup $(T(t))_{t\ge 0}$ is irreducible, from Proposition 2.7 we deduce that $T_p(t)\chi_E > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, for any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with positive and finite Lebesgue measure, and any $t > 0$.

Let us now fix a nonnegative and non identically vanishing function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set $E_m = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : f(x) > 1/m\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure. Up to intersecting E_m with a sufficiently large ball, we can assume that the set E_m is bounded and non empty. Since $f \ge m^{-1}\chi_{E_m}$, from the positivity of the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ we conclude that $T_p(t)f \ge T_p(t)\chi_{E_m} > 0$ everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. ☐

For any $p \in (1,\infty)$, $(A_p, D(A_p))$ is the minimal realization of operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The following proposition shows that $(A_p, D(A_p))$ actually coincides with the maximal realization of operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proposition 2.9. *For any $p \in (1,\infty)$ it holds that*

$$D(A_p) = D_{\max,p}(\mathcal{A}) := \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \ \mathcal{A}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Proof. Clearly, we have only to prove the inclusion "$\supset$". Fix $p \in (1,\infty)$, $u \in D_{\max,p}(\mathcal{A})$, $\lambda \in \rho(A_p)\cap\mathbb{R}$ and set $f := \lambda u - \mathcal{A}u$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that u is a real-valued function. The function $v := u - R(\lambda, A_p)f$ satisfies the equation $\lambda v - \mathcal{A}v = 0$. We shall show that $v \equiv 0$, provided λ is large enough.

We first consider the case $\alpha \in [1,2]$. Integrating the identity $(\lambda v - \mathcal{A}v)v|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2 = 0$ by parts on $\mathbb{R}^N$, where (ϑ_n) is a standard sequence of cut-off functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}0 =& \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^p\vartheta_n^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V|v|^p\vartheta_n^2dx + (p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}a|\nabla v|^2|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2\chi_{\{v\neq 0\}}dx\\ &+ 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}a\vartheta_n|v|^{p-2}v\nabla v\cdot\nabla\vartheta_n\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\vartheta_n^2|v|^{p-2}v\nabla a\cdot\nabla v\,dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

?mart-1?

Note that $C_1 := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|a^{1/2}|\nabla\vartheta_n|\,\|_\infty < \infty$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}a\vartheta_n|v|^{p-2}v\nabla v\cdot\nabla\vartheta_n\,dx\right|\\ \le& C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_n}a^{\frac12}|v|^{p-1}|\nabla v|\vartheta_n dx\\ \le& C_1\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}a|\nabla v|^2|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2\chi_{\{v\neq 0\}}dx\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_n}|v|^p dx\right)^{\frac12}\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a|\nabla v|^2|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2\chi_{\{v\neq 0\}}dx + \frac{C_1^2}{4\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_n}|v|^p dx \tag{2.32}$$?mart-2?

and, since $|\nabla a| \leq \alpha a^{1/2}$, we can estimate (in a completely similar way)

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\vartheta_n^2|v|^{p-2}v\nabla a\cdot\nabla v\,dx\right| \leq \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a|\nabla v|^2|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2\chi_{\{v\neq 0\}}dx + \frac{\alpha^2}{4\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^p\vartheta_n^2 dx, \tag{2.33}$$?mart-3?

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Replacing (2.32) and (2.33) into (2.31) and taking $\varepsilon = (p-1)/3$, gives

$$\left(\lambda - \frac{3\alpha^2}{4(p-1)}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^p\vartheta_n^2 dx - \frac{3C_1^2}{2(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_n}|v|^p dx \leq 0. \tag{2.34}$$?mart-4?

Letting n tend to ∞ yields $v \equiv 0$, if we take λ large enough.

Let us now assume that $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and consider the function $\hat{a}$ defined by $\hat{a} = \varphi\tilde{a} + (1-\varphi)a$, where $\tilde{a}$ is any smooth function such that $\tilde{a} \geq 1$ and $\|a - \tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty(B_2)} \leq 1/2$, and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies $\chi_{B_1} \leq \varphi \leq \chi_{B_2}$. Function $\tilde{a}$ can be obtained, for instance, regularizing by convolution a. Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the operator defined as $\mathcal{A}$ with a being replaced by $\hat{a}$. As in the case when $\alpha \geq 1$, we multiply the equation $\lambda v - \mathcal{A}v = 0$ by $v|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\lambda v - \mathcal{A}v)v|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2 dx \\ =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\lambda v - \hat{\mathcal{A}}v)v|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2 dx + \int_{B_2}(\hat{a} - a)v|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2\Delta v dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$?max-1?

Since $\hat{a}$ is continuously differentiable in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $|\nabla\hat{a}| \leq \hat{\kappa}\hat{a}^{1/2}$ for some positive constant $\hat{\kappa}$, we can integrate by parts the first term in the last side of (2.35). Arguing as in the proof of (2.34) we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\lambda v - \hat{\mathcal{A}}v)v|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2 dx \geq& \left(\lambda - \frac{3\hat{\kappa}^2}{4(p-1)}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^p\vartheta_n^2 dx \\ & - \frac{3\hat{C}_1^2}{2(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_n}|v|^p dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$?max-2?

where $\hat{C}_1 = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|\hat{a}^{1/2}|\nabla\vartheta_n|\,\|_\infty$. As far as the other term in the last side of (2.35) is concerned, we observe that, since $a \geq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_{B_2}(\hat{a} - a)v|v|^{p-2}\vartheta_n^2\Delta v dx\right| \leq& \|a - \hat{a}\|_{L^\infty(B_2)}\int_{B_2}|v|^{p-1}|a\Delta v|dx \\ \leq& \|a - \tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty(B_2)}\|v\|_{L^p(B_2)}^{p-1}\|a\Delta v\|_{L^p(B_2)} \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{L^p(B_2)}^{p-1}\|(V+\lambda)v\|_{L^p(B_2)} \\ \leq& \frac{1}{2}\left(2^\beta + \lambda\right)\|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$?max-3?

From (2.35), (2.36) and (2.37) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq& \left(\lambda - \frac{3\hat{\kappa}^2}{4(p-1)}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^p\vartheta_n^2 dx - \frac{3\hat{C}_1^2}{2(p-1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_n}|v|^p dx \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(2^\beta + \lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Again, letting $n \to \infty$ we get

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{3\hat{\kappa}^2}{4(p-1)} - 2^{\beta-1}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v|^p dx \leq 0,$$

and, then, choosing λ large enough, we conclude that $v \equiv 0$. $\square$

Proposition 2.10. *For any $\alpha \in [0,2]$, any $\beta > 0$ and any $p \in (1,\infty)$ the spectrum of A_p consists of a sequence of negative real eigenvalues which accumulates at $-\infty$. Moreover, $\sigma(A_p)$ is independent of p.*

Proof. The proof is split into three steps. In the first one we prove that, for any $p \in (1,\infty)$, $\sigma(A_p)$ consists of isolated eigenvalues. Then, we prove that $\sigma(A_p)$ is independent of p and, finally, we show that the eigenvalues of A_p are real and negative.

Step 1. Fix $p \in (1,\infty)$. To prove that the spectrum of A_p consists of eigenvalues only, let us show that $D(A_p)$ is compactly embedded into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \in (1,\infty)$. This will yield immediately that the resolvent operator $R(\lambda, A_p)$ is compact in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\lambda \in \rho(A_p)$. Hence, its spectrum (and, consequently, the spectrum of A_p) consists of eigenvalues.

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{\beta p}|u(x)|^p dx \le C_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |A_p u(x)|^p dx \right),$$

for some positive constant C_1, independent of u, taking Corollary 2.4 into account we can easily conclude that there exists a positive constant C_2, independent of u as well, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{\beta p}|u(x)|^p dx \le C_2, \tag{2.38}$$

`?stima-per-comp?`

for any $u \in \mathcal{B} := \{v \in D(A_p) : \|v\|_{D(A_p)} \le 1\}$. This estimate yields the compactness of $\mathcal{B}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by a standard argument. Anyway for the reader's convenience we give some details. To prove that $\mathcal{B}$ is compact in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we show that it is totally bounded. By estimate (2.38) we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_M} |u(x)|^p dx \le M^{-\beta p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_M} |x|^{\beta p}|u(x)|^p dx \le C_2 M^{-\beta p}, \qquad u \in \mathcal{B}. \tag{2.39}$$

`?stima-per-comp-2?`

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let M_ε be large enough such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{M_\varepsilon}} |u(x)|^p dx \le \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^p, \qquad u \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Since $D(A_p)$ is continuously embedded into $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the set $\mathcal{B}_{|B_{M_\varepsilon}}$ of the restrictions to B_{M_ε} of all the functions in $\mathcal{B}$ is continuously embedded in $W^{2,p}(B_{M_\varepsilon})$. As this latter space is compactly embedded in $L^p(B_{M_\varepsilon})$, there exist $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ and functions $f_1, \ldots, f_{n_\varepsilon}$ in $L^p(B_{M_\varepsilon})$ such that, for any $u \in \mathcal{B}$ and some $j = j(u) \in \{1, \ldots, n_\varepsilon\}$,

$$\int_{B_{M_\varepsilon}} |u(x) - f_j(x)|^p dx \le \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^p. \tag{2.40}$$

`?stima-per-comp-3?`

Let us now denote by $\tilde{f}_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, n_\varepsilon$) the function which equals f_j in B_{M_ε} and identically vanishes elsewhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Using (2.39) and (2.40) we obtain that $\|u - \tilde{f}_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \varepsilon$, and this shows that $\mathcal{B}$ is totally bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Step 2. Let us now show that the spectrum of A_p is independent of $p \in (1,\infty)$. The proof that we present is obtained adapting the arguments in the proof of [3, Cor. 1.6.2]. Fix $p, q \in (1,\infty)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the proof of Theorem 2.5 we know that the operators A_p and A_q are sectorial. Hence, we can determine $\omega > 0$ such that the interval (ω, ∞) is contained in both the resolvent sets of operators A_p and A_q.

Since the semigroups $(T_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$ and $(T_q(t))_{t\geq 0}$ coincide on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $T_p(t)f = T_q(t)f$ for any $t>0$. Therefore, for $\lambda>\omega$ we get

$$R(\lambda, A_p)f = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}T_p(t)f dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}T_q(t)f dt = R(\lambda, A_q)f.$$

In particular,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} gR(\lambda, A_p)f dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} gR(\lambda, A_q)f dx, \qquad \lambda>\omega,$$

for any $g\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Note that, since $\sigma(A_p)$ and $\sigma(A_q)$ consist of isolated eigenvalues, $\mathbb{C}\setminus(\sigma(A_p)\cup\sigma(A_q))$ is a connected open set in $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, the previous equality can be extended to any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus(\sigma(A_p)\cup\sigma(A_q))$. The arbitrariness of g shows that $R(\lambda,A_p)f = R(\lambda,A_q)f$ for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus(\sigma(A_p)\cup\sigma(A_q))$. Let us now fix $\lambda_0\in\sigma(A_p)$. Since both $\sigma(A_p)$ and $\sigma(A_q)$ admit no accumulation points in $\mathbb{C}$, λ_0 is isolated in $\sigma(A_p)\cup\sigma(A_q)$. Hence, we can determine $\varepsilon>0$ small enough such that $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)\setminus\{\lambda_0\}\subset\mathbb{C}\setminus(\sigma(A_p)\cup\sigma(A_q))$. Let P be the spectral projection associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_0\in\sigma(A_p)$, which is defined by

$$Ph = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)} R(\lambda, A_p)h d\lambda, \qquad h\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $\partial B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ is oriented counterclockwise. If $\lambda_0\notin\sigma(A_q)$, from the above arguments we obtain that

$$Pf = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)} R(\lambda, A_q)f d\lambda = 0,$$

which implies that $P\equiv 0$ by density: a contradiction. Hence, $\sigma(A_p)\subset\sigma(A_q)$. Since p and q have been arbitrarily fixed, $\sigma(A_q)=\sigma(A_p)$.

Step 3. We now prove that, for any $p\in(1,\infty)$, the spectrum of A_p consists of negative eigenvalues. In view of Step 2, we can limit ourselves to dealing with the case $p=2$. Let $\lambda\in\sigma(A_2)$ and $u\in D(A_2)$ be such that $\lambda u - A_2u = 0$. Multiplying both sides of this equality by $a^{-1}\overline{u}$ and integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned}0 =&\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|u|^2}{a}dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\overline{u}\Delta u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V\frac{|u|^p}{a}dx\\ =&\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|u|^2}{a}dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V\frac{|u|^2}{a}dx.\end{aligned}$$

From the first and last side of this chain of equalities we immediately infer that λ is real and negative.

Finally, we observe that the eigenvalues of operator A_p can be ordered into a sequence diverging to $-\infty$. Indeed, if they were a finite number, the operator A_p would be bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which, of course, cannot be the case. This concludes the proof. $\square$

To conclude this subsection we prove the following result, which will be used in Section 3.

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose that* $\alpha\in[0,2]$ *and* $\beta>0$. *Then, for any* $p\in(1,\infty)$, *the eigenspace corresponding to the largest eigenvalue* λ_0 *of* A_p *is one-dimensional and is spanned by a strictly positive function* ϕ, *which is radial, belongs to* $C_b^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *for any* $\gamma\in(0,1)$ *and tends to* 0 *as* $|x|\to\infty$.

Proof. Fix $p\in(1,\infty)$. By Proposition 2.10 we know that the spectrum of A_p consists of a sequence of negative isolated eigenvalues with finite geometric multiplicity. It follows from [13, A-III, Prop. 2.5(iii)] and the Riesz-Schauder theory for compact operators (cf. [4, VII.4.5]) that λ_0 is a pole of $R(\cdot, A_p)$ of finite algebraic multiplicity. From Corollary 2.8 and [13, C-III, Prop. 3.5] we now conclude that the eigenspace corresponding to λ_0 is one-dimensional and is spanned by a strictly positive function ϕ. Since $e^{\lambda_0}\phi = T_p(1)\phi$, from Propositions 2.6 and 2.7 it follows immediately that $\phi \in C^{1+\gamma}_b(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\gamma \in (0,1)$. In particular, $\phi = e^{-\lambda_0} T_N(1)\phi$. Hence, $\phi(x)$ vanishes as $|x| \to \infty$.

To complete the proof let us prove that ϕ is radial. For this purpose, we observe that, since the coefficients of operator $\mathcal{A}$ are radial, the function $x \mapsto \phi_R(x) := \phi(Rx)$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{A}$ associated to the eigenvalue λ_0, for any orthogonal matrix R. Hence, ϕ_R and ϕ should be proportional. Since they coincide at $x = 0$, they should coincide everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Hence, $\phi(Rx) = \phi(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and this shows that ϕ is radial. $\square$

Remark 2.12. From Proposition 2.11 we know that the largest eigenvalue λ_0 of A_p is simple. So, it follows from [7, Cor. 2.3.5] that there exists a positive constant M_p such that

$$\|T_p(t)\|_{L(L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))} \le M_p e^{\lambda_0 t}, \qquad t \ge 0. \tag{2.41}$$

?spectr-behav?

3. Heat kernel estimates

It follows from the local regularity of the coefficients of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ that the semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\ge 0}$ generated by A_p admits a heat kernel $k(t,x,y)$ such that

$$T_p(t)f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(t,x,y)f(y)\,dy, \qquad t>0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (cf. [8]).

In this section we propose to prove some upper estimates for k. For this purpose, let us estimate the eigenfunction ϕ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue λ_0 of the operator $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0,2)$. Then, there exist constants C_1, $C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$C_1 f_0(x) \le \phi(x) \le C_2 f_{2\lambda_0}(x),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,1)$, where

$$f_\lambda(x) := |x|^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{4} - \frac{N-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\int_1^{|x|} \frac{s^{\beta/2}}{(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}\,ds - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_1^{|x|} \frac{1}{s^{\beta/2}(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}\,ds \right). \tag{3.1}$$

?flambda?

Proof. Let us set $f_\lambda(x) = \psi(|x|)e^{-g_\lambda(|x|)}$, where λ is a real constant,

$$g'_\lambda(r) = \frac{r^{\beta/2}}{(1+r^\alpha)^{1/2}} + \frac{\lambda}{2r^{\beta/2}(1+r^\alpha)^{1/2}}, \qquad r>0,$$

and ψ is a positive and sufficiently smooth function to be chosen later on.

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f_\lambda(x) =& \frac{\psi''(|x|)}{\psi(|x|)} f_\lambda(x) - \left(g''_\lambda(|x|) + 2g'_\lambda(|x|)\frac{\psi'(|x|)}{\psi(|x|)} + \frac{N-1}{|x|} g'_\lambda(|x|) \right) f_\lambda(x) \\ &+ (g'_\lambda(|x|))^2 f_\lambda(x) + \frac{N-1}{|x|}\cdot\frac{\psi'(|x|)}{\psi(|x|)} f_\lambda(x),\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Let us determine ψ in such a way that

$$g''_0(r) + 2g'_0(r)\frac{\psi'(r)}{\psi(r)} + \frac{N-1}{r} g'_0(r) = 0, \qquad r>0.$$

We can take

$$\psi(r)=r^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}\left(\frac{1+r^\alpha}{r^\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}},\qquad r>0.$$

With this choice of ψ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}f_\lambda(x)-\lambda f_\lambda(x)=&(1+|x|^\alpha)\frac{\psi''(|x|)}{\psi(|x|)}f_\lambda(x)-\lambda\frac{(1+|x|^\alpha)^{1/2}}{|x|^{\beta/2}}\cdot\frac{\psi'(|x|)}{\psi(|x|)}f_\lambda(x)\\&-\frac{\lambda}{2}(1+|x|^\alpha)\left(\frac{d}{dr}\frac{1}{r^{\beta/2}(1+r^\alpha)^{1/2}}\right)_{|r=|x|}f_\lambda(x)\\&+\frac{\lambda^2}{4|x|^\beta}f_\lambda(|x|)+\frac{N-1}{|x|}(1+|x|^\alpha)\frac{\psi'(|x|)}{\psi(|x|)}f_\lambda(x)\\&-\lambda\frac{N-1}{2|x|}\cdot\frac{(1+|x|^\alpha)^{1/2}}{|x|^{\beta/2}}f_\lambda(x)\\=:&h_\lambda(|x|)f_\lambda(x),\end{aligned}$$

for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}$. Since $\alpha\in[0,2)$ and $\beta>0$, $h_\lambda(|x|)$ tends to 0 as $|x|\to\infty$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}f_\lambda(x)-\lambda f_\lambda(x)=o(1)f_\lambda(x),\tag{3.2}$$

?star*?

as $|x|\to\infty$. We can thus apply the same arguments as in Davies book [3]. More precisely, if ϕ is a positive eigenfunction of operator A_p associated to the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_0<0$, then we have $\mathcal{A}\phi-\lambda_0\phi=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\phi\in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and it vanishes as $|x|\to\infty$ (see Proposition 2.11). Now, $o(1)>\lambda_0$ if $|x|$ is sufficiently large (let us say $|x|\geq R$). Since $f_0>0$, it holds that

$$0=\mathcal{A}f_0(x)-o(1)f_0(x)\leq\mathcal{A}f_0(x)-\lambda_0f_0(x),$$

for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R$, which yields $\mathcal{A}(f_0-\phi)-\lambda_0(f_0-\phi)\geq0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R$. Up to replacing R with a larger value if needed, we can assume that $|x|^\beta+\lambda_0>0$ is positive for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R$. Since both f_0 and ϕ tend to 0 as $|x|\to\infty$, and $f_0^{-1}\phi\geq C_1$ on ∂B_R, by compactness, we get, by the maximum principle, $C_1f_0-\phi\leq0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R$.

Analogously, by (3.2) it follows that $\mathcal{A}f_{2\lambda_0}-(2\lambda_0+o(1))f_{2\lambda_0}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}$. Now, up to replacing R with a larger value, we can assume that

$$0<|x|^\beta+2\lambda_0+o(1)<|x|^\beta+\lambda_0,\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{A}f_{2\lambda_0}-\lambda_0f_{2\lambda_0}\leq0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R$. This implies that $\mathcal{A}(f_{2\lambda_0}-\phi)-\lambda_0(f_{2\lambda_0}-\phi)\leq0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R$ and as above we can conclude that $C_2f_{2\lambda_0}-\phi\geq0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R$ for some constant $C_2>0$. ☐

Note that the function $f_{2\lambda_0}/f_0$ is bounded in a neighborhood of ∞ if and only $\beta+\alpha>2$. In such a situation one obtains the following result which can be deduced also from [15, Chpt. 6, Thm. 2.1].

Corollary 3.2. *If* $2<\alpha+\beta<2+\beta$ *then there exist constants* $C_1,C_2>0$ *such that*

$$C_1f_0(x)\leq\phi(x)\leq C_2f_0(x),\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,1).$$

Let us now introduce on L^2_μ the bilinear form

$$a_\mu(u,v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\overline{v}\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}Vu\overline{v}\,d\mu,\qquad u,v\in D(a_\mu),$$

where $d\mu(x)=(1+|x|^\alpha)^{-1}dx$ and $D(a_\mu)=\{u\in L^2_\mu:V^{1/2}u\in L^2_\mu,\nabla u\in(L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))^N\}$. $D(a_\mu)$ is a Hilbert space when endowed with the inner product

$$\langle u,v\rangle_{D(a_\mu)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(1+V)u\overline{v}\,d\mu+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\overline{v}\,dx.$$

Since a_μ is a closed, symmetric and accretive form, to a_μ we associate the self-adjoint operator A_μ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A_\mu)=\left\{u\in L^2_\mu : \exists g\in L^2_\mu \text{ such that } a_\mu(u,v)=-\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g\overline{v}\,d\mu,\ \forall v\in D(a_\mu)\right\}, \\ A_\mu u=g, \end{cases}$$

see [16, Prop. 1.24]. By general results on positive self-adjoint operators induced by nonnegative quadratic forms in Hilbert spaces (see e.g., [16, Prop. 1.51, Thms. 1.52, 2.6, 2.13]) A_μ generates a positive analytic semigroup e^{tA_μ} in L^2_μ. We denote by k_μ the heat kernel associated to A_μ, i.e.,

$$e^{tA_\mu}f=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_\mu(t,\cdot,y)f(y)d\mu(y), \qquad t>0,\ f\in L^2_\mu.$$

Lemma 3.3. *The following properties are satisfied.*

(i) $D(A_\mu)=\{u\in D(a_\mu)\cap W^{2,2}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathcal{A}u\in L^2_\mu\}$ *and* $A_\mu u=\mathcal{A}u$ *for any* $u\in D(A_\mu)$*;*

(ii) $e^{tA_\mu}f=T_p(t)f$ *for any* $t\geq 0$*, any* $p\in(1,\infty)$ *and any* $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^2_\mu$*.*

As a byproduct, it follows that

$$k_\mu(t,x,y)=(1+|y|^\alpha)k(t,x,y), \qquad t>0,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.3}$$

?k-mu?

Proof. (i) We begin by proving the inclusion "$\subset$". Fix $u\in D(A_\mu)\subset W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\overline{v}dx=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(A_\mu u+Vu)\overline{v}d\mu,$$

for any $v\in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $g=A_\mu u+Vu\in L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, from the previous formula we deduce that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\overline{v}\,dx\right|\leq\|g\|_{L^2(B_R)}\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

for any $v\in C^\infty_c(B_R)$ and any $R>0$. By density this estimate can be extended to any $v\in W^{1,2}_0(B_R)$ for any $R>0$ and, using a standard argument, it is immediate to check that $\nabla u\in(W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N))^N$. Hence, $u\in W^{2,2}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Finally, integrating by parts we conclude that $A_\mu u=\mathcal{A}u$. The inclusion "$\subset$" follows at once.

Let us now prove the inclusion "$\supset$". For this purpose, we fix $u\in D(a_\mu)\cap W^{2,2}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $f:=\mathcal{A}u\in L^2_\mu$, and $\varphi\in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}f\overline{\varphi}d\mu&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\Delta u-a^{-1}Vu)\overline{\varphi}dx\\ &=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\overline{\varphi}dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}Vu\overline{\varphi}d\mu\\ &=-a_\mu(u,\varphi).\end{aligned}$$

To conclude that $u\in D(A_\mu)$ we need to show that the previous equality can be extended to any $\varphi\in D(a_\mu)$. But this follows immediately from the density of $C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $D(a_\mu)$, which can be proved arguing as in the proof of Proposition A.1. The inclusion "$\supset$" follows.

(ii) Since $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\subset L^2_\mu$, with a continuous embedding, by Proposition 2.9 it follows that $D(A_2)\subset D(A_\mu)$ with a continuous embedding (when the two previous spaces are endowed with the graph norms). Hence, for any $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, both the functions $t\mapsto T_2(t)f$ and $t\mapsto e^{tA_\mu}f$ belong to $C^1((0,+\infty);L^2_\mu)\cap C([0,+\infty);L^2_\mu)\cap C((0,+\infty);D(A_\mu))$ and solve the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} v'(t)=\mathcal{A}v(t), & t\ge 0,\\ v(0)=f. \end{cases}$$

Since the previous problem admits a unique solution with the claimed regularity properties, $T_2(t)f=e^{tA_\mu}f$ for any $t>0$. Recalling that $T_2(t)$ and $T_p(t)$ agree on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t>0$, we conclude that, for any $t>0$, e^{tA_μ} and $T_p(t)$ coincide on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^2_\mu$. Formula (3.3) now follows immediately. ☐

Let us now give the first application of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.4. *If* $\alpha\in[0,2)$ *and* $\beta>0$*, then*

$$k(t,x,x)\ge Me^{\lambda_0 t}(f_0(x))^2(1+|x|^\alpha)^{-1},\qquad t>0,$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,1)$ *and some constant* $M>0$*. Here,* f_0 *is given by* (3.1).

Proof. From the semigroup law and the symmetry of $k_\mu(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ for any $t>0$, we deduce that

$$k_\mu(t,x,x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t/2,x,y)^2\,d\mu(y),\qquad t>0,\ \ x\in\mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.4}$$?kmu-0?

Indeed, for any real valued functions $\varphi,\psi\in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\psi e^{tA_\mu}\varphi d\mu=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\psi e^{\frac{t}{2}A_\mu}e^{\frac{t}{2}A_\mu}\varphi d\mu=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}e^{\frac{t}{2}A_\mu}\psi e^{\frac{t}{2}A_\mu}\varphi d\mu$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\psi(x)d\mu(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t,x,y)\varphi(y)d\mu(y)\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}d\mu(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\psi(y)d\mu(y)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t/2,x,y)k_\mu(t/2,x,z)\varphi(z)d\mu(z)\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\psi(y)d\mu(y)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi(z)d\mu(z)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t/2,x,y)k_\mu(t/2,x,z)d\mu(x).\end{aligned}$$

The arbitrariness of $\varphi,\psi\in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ imply that

$$k_\mu(t,x,y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t/2,z,x)k_\mu(t/2,z,y)d\mu(z),$$

and, then, the symmetry of $k_\mu(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ leads to (3.4).

Let us denote by ϕ the normalized eigenfunction of $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e., $\|\phi\|_{L^2}=1$) corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0. Using Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\lambda_0\frac{t}{2}}\phi(x)=&T_2(t/2)\phi(x)\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t/2,x,y)\phi(y)\,d\mu(y)\\ \le&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t/2,x,y)^2\,d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac12}\\ =&k_\mu(t,x,x)^{\frac12},\end{aligned}$$

for any $t>0$ and any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$. The assertion now follows from Proposition 3.1. ☐

We now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.5. *If $N > 2$, $\alpha \in [0, 2)$ and $\beta > 2$, then*

$$0 < k(t, x, y) \le \frac{Ke^{\lambda_0 t}e^{ct^{-b}} f_0(x) f_0(y)}{1 + |y|^\alpha}, \qquad t > 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, 1),$$

where K, c are positive constants, $b > \frac{\beta+2}{\beta-2}$ and f_0 is given by (3.1).

Proof. The case $\alpha = 0$ is already known, see [3, Cor. 4.5.5 and Cor. 4.5.8]. Let us consider the case when $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. We split the proof into two steps. In the first one we estimate the function $k_\mu(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$ and prove that

$$k_\mu(t, x, y) \le K_1 e^{ct^{-b}} \phi(x)\phi(y), \qquad 0 < t \le 1,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{3.5}$$
?intrins-ultra?

for some positive constant K_1. In the second one we prove that

$$k_\mu(t, x, y) \le K_2 e^{\lambda_0 t} \phi(x)\phi(y), \qquad t > 1,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.6}$$
?tge1?

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), taking (3.3) and Corollary 3.2 into account, the assertion follows at once.

Step 1. Estimate (3.5) can be proved adapting the arguments used in [3, Subsect. 4.4 and Subsect. 4.5]. For this reason we do not elaborate the proof but we just check the crucial points, which are the estimates

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g|f|^2\, d\mu \le b_0 \|g\|_{L^{N/2}_\mu} a_\mu(f, f), \qquad f \in D(a_\mu),\ g \in L^{N/2}_\mu, \tag{3.7}$$
?nash?

for some positive constant b_0, independent of f and g, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W|f|^2\, d\mu \le \varepsilon(a_\mu(f, f) + \lambda_0\|f\|^2_{L^2_\mu}) + (c\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta-\gamma}} - \varepsilon\lambda_0)\|f\|^2_{L^2_\mu}, \qquad f \in D(a_\mu), \tag{3.8}$$
?stima-W?

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $\gamma \in (\beta/2 + 1, \beta)$ and some positive constant c. Here, $W(x) = |x|^\gamma$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

To prove (3.7) it suffices to show that the semigroup $(e^{tA_\mu})_{t\ge0}$ is ultracontractive and

$$\|e^{tA_\mu} f\|_\infty \le Ct^{-\frac{N}{4}}\|f\|_{L^2_\mu}, \qquad t > 0,\ f \in L^2_\mu, \tag{3.9}$$
?iper-contr?

for some positive constant C, independent of t. Theorem 2.4.2 in [3] will then imply that there exists a constant $b_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|^2_{L^{2N/(N-2)}_\mu} \le b_0 a_\mu(f, f), \qquad f \in D(a_\mu),$$

and Hölder inequality will yield estimate (3.8).

So, let us prove (3.9). For this purpose, we denote by $(S(t))_{t\ge0}$ the analytic semigroup generated by the realization of the operator $a\Delta$ in L^2_μ. The results in [12, Thm. 2.14] show that each operator $S(t)$ is a contraction in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $S(t) \in L(L^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}^N), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $\|S(t)\|_{L(L^1_\mu, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N))} \le C_1 t^{-N/2}$ for some positive constant C_1, independent of t. Stein interpolation theorem implies that $S(t)$ is ultracontractive and $\|S(t)f\|_\infty \le C_1^{1/2} t^{-N/4}\|f\|_{L^2_\mu}$. To complete the proof of (3.9) it now suffices to show that $S(t)f \le e^{tA_\mu} f$ for any $t > 0$ and any nonnegative $f \in L^2_\mu$. In fact, we prove such a property for any nonnegative $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Proposition 2.7 we know that both the functions $e^{tA_\mu} f = T_2(t)f$ and $S(\cdot)f$ belong to $C_b([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,2}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ and their difference v satisfies the differential inequality $D_t v - a\Delta v \le 0$ and vanishes at $t = 0$. By a variant of the classical maximum principle (see e.g., [1, Thm. 4.1.3]), we can infer that $v \le 0$. Hence, $e^{tA_\mu} f \le S(t)f$ for any $t \ge 0$.

Estimate (3.8) follows at once observing that

$$W(x) \le \varepsilon(V(x) + \lambda_0) + c\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta-\gamma}} - \varepsilon\lambda_0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $c = (\beta^{-1}\gamma)^{\gamma/(\beta-\gamma)} - (\beta^{-1}\gamma)^{\beta/(\beta-\gamma)}$.

Step 2. To estimate the function $k_\mu(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ for $t>1$, we use the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation and the symmetry of $k_\mu(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ to infer that

$$k_\mu(t,x,y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t-1/2,x,z)k_\mu(1/2,y,z)d\mu(z),\qquad t>1/2,\ \ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N.$$

By Step 1, the function $k_\mu(1/2,y,\cdot)$ belongs to L^2_μ. Hence,

$$k_\mu(t,x,y)=(e^{(t-\frac{1}{2})A_\mu}k_\mu(1/2,y,\cdot))(x),\qquad t>1/2,\ \ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N.$$

From formula (3.4) and estimate (2.41) we now deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}k_\mu(t,x,x)=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|k_\mu(t/2,x,y)|^2d\mu(y)\\ \le& M_2e^{\lambda_0(t-1)}\|k_\mu(1/2,x,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_\mu}\\ =&M_2e^{\lambda_0(t-1)}k_\mu(1,x,x)\\ \le&K_2e^{\lambda_0 t}(\phi(x))^2,\end{aligned}$$

for any $t>0$, any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and some positive constant K_2. Using the inequality $k_\mu(t,x,y)\le(k_\mu(t,x,x))^{1/2}(k_\mu(t,y,y))^{1/2}$, which holds for any $t>0$ and any $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and follows from the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation, we get (3.6). ☐

Let λ_j be an eigenvalue of A_2 and denote by ψ_j any normalized (i.e. $\|\psi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}=1$) eigenfunction associated to λ_j. Then, by (3.4), with $2t$ instead of t, we get

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\lambda_j t}|\psi_j(x)|=&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t,x,y)\psi_j(y)\,d\mu(y)\right|\\ \le&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k_\mu(t,x,y)^2d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\psi_j\|_{L^2_\mu}\\ =&(k_\mu(2t,x,x))^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

for any $t>0$ and any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$. So, by Theorem 3.5 we obtain

Corollary 3.6. *If the assumptions of Theorem* 3.5 *hold, then all normalized eigenfunctions* ψ_j *of* A_2 *satisfy*

$$|\psi_j(x)|\le C|x|^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{4}-\frac{N-1}{2}}\exp\left(-\int_1^{|x|}\frac{s^{\beta/2}}{(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}ds\right),$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,1)$ *and a constant* $C>0$.

3.1. **A slightly more general class of elliptic operators.** Let us consider the operator $\mathcal{B}$, defined on smooth functions u by

$$\mathcal{B}u=a\sum_{j,k=1}^N D_k(q_{kj}D_ju)-Wu,$$

(where, as usual, $a(x)=(1+|x|^\alpha)$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$) under the following set of assumptions:

Hypotheses 3.7. (i) the coefficients $q_{kj}=q_{jk}$ belong to $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap W^{1,\infty}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $j,k=1,\dots,N$ and there exists a positive constant η such that

$$\eta|\xi|^2\le\sum_{j,k=1}^N q_{kj}(x)\xi_k\xi_j,\qquad x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N;$$

(ii) $W\in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies $W(x)\ge|x|^\beta$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and some $\beta>2$;

(iii) $\alpha\in[0,2)$ and $D_jq_{kj}(x)={\rm o}(|x|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}})$ as $|x|\to\infty$.

On L^2_μ we define the bilinear form

$$b_\mu(u,v)=\sum_{j,k=1}^N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q_{kj}D_kuD_j\overline{v}\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}Wu\overline{v}\,d\mu,\qquad u,v\in D(b_\mu),$$

where $D(b_\mu)=\{u\in L^2_\mu : W^{1/2}\in L^2_\mu,\ \nabla u\in(L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))^N\}$. Since b_μ is a symmetric, accretive and closable form, we can associate a positive strongly continuous semigroup in $L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}$ (we refer the reader again to [16, Prop. 1.51, Thms. 1.52, 2.6, 2.13]). The same arguments as at the beginning of this section show that the infinitesimal generator B_μ of this semigroup is the realization in L^2_μ of the operator $\mathcal{B}$ with domain $D(B_\mu)=\{u\in D(b_\mu)\cap W^{2,2}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathcal{B}u\in L^2_\mu\}$.

In this subsection we prove upper estimates for the kernel p_μ of the semigroup $(e^{tB_\mu})_{t\ge 0}$. For this purpose, for any $\theta>0$ we introduce the sesquilinear form $a_{\mu,\theta}$ defined by

$$a_{\mu,\theta}(u,v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\nabla u\cdot\nabla\overline{v}\,dx+\theta^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}Vu\overline{v}d\mu,\qquad u,v\in D(a_{\mu,\theta})=D(a_\mu),$$

where $V(x)=|x|^\beta$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$. The arguments in the first part of this section can be applied to the analytic semigroup associated to the form $a_{\mu,\theta}$ in L^2_μ and its kernel $k_{\mu,\theta}$. In particular, arguing as in the proof of (3.5) and (3.6) one can show that

$$0<k_{\mu,\theta}(t,x,y)\le K_\theta e^{\lambda_{0,\theta}t}e^{\tilde{c}_\theta t^{-b}}\phi_{0,\theta}(x)\phi_{0,\theta}(y),\qquad t>0,\ \ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N,\tag{3.10}$$?kernel-estim-theta?

where $\tilde{c}_\theta$ and K_θ are positive constants, $\lambda_{0,\theta}$ is the largest (negative) eigenvalue of the minimal realization of operator $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $\phi_{0,\theta}$ is a corresponding positive and bounded eigenfunction. Moreover, there exist two positive constants $C_{1,\theta}$ and $C_{2,\theta}$ such that

$$C_{1,\theta}\le|x|^{-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{4}+\frac{N-1}{2}}\exp\left(-\theta\int_1^{|x|}\frac{s^{\beta/2}}{(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}ds\right)\phi_{0,\theta}(x)\le C_{2,\theta},$$

for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,1)$.

In the proof of Theorem 3.9 we will also need the precise asymptotic behavior of $|\nabla\phi_{0,\theta}|$.

Proposition 3.8. *Assume that* $\alpha\in[0,2)$ *and* $\beta>0$. *Then,*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}\frac{|\nabla\phi_{0,\theta}(x)|^2}{(\phi_{0,\theta}(x))^2}\cdot\frac{1+|x|^\alpha}{|x|^\beta}=\frac{1}{\theta^2}.$$

Proof. Since $\phi_{0,\theta}$ is radial (see Proposition 2.11), there exists a function $\phi_{\star,\theta}$ such that $\phi_{0,\theta}(x)=\phi_{\star,\theta}(|x|)$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$. Let us consider the function v defined by $v(r)=|r|^{(N-1)/2}\phi_{\star,\theta}(-r)$ for any $r\ge 0$. As it is easily seen,

$$v''(r)=v(r)\left(\frac{\theta^2|r|^\beta+\lambda_0}{1+|r|^\alpha}+\frac{N^2-4N+3}{4|r|^2}\right),\qquad r<0.$$

By [15, Chpt. 6, Thm. 2.1] we know that there exist two solutions w_1 and w_2 of the previous equation, given by the following formula:

$$w_j(r)=\left(\frac{1+|r|^\alpha}{\theta^2|r|^\beta+\lambda_0}\right)^{\frac14}\exp\left((-1)^j\int_{-1}^r\frac{(\theta^2|s|^\beta+\lambda_0)^{1/2}}{(1+|s|^\alpha)^{1/2}}\,ds\right)(1+\varepsilon_j(r)),$$

for $j=1,2,$, where $\varepsilon_j(r)$ and $(1+|r|^\alpha)^{1/2}(\theta^2|r|^\beta+\lambda_0)^{-1/2}\varepsilon_j'(r)$ tend to 0 as $r\to-\infty$. This last assertion follows from applying [15, Formula (2.04)] noting that the function F in [15, Formula (2.01)] has bounded variation in $(-\infty,a]$ for any $a<0$ since it is therein bounded and Lipschitz continuous. It then follows that w_1

and w_2 are linearly independent since w_1 is unbounded whereas w_2 is bounded in $(-\infty,0)$. Hence, v is a linear combination of the functions w_1 and w_2. Since $\phi_{\star,\theta}$ is bounded, and $r\mapsto r^{-(N-1)/2}w_1(r)$ tends to ∞ as $r\to-\infty$, we obtain that $v=w_2$, i.e.,

$$\phi_{\star,\theta}(r)=cr^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}\left(\frac{1+r^\alpha}{\theta^2r^\beta+\lambda_0}\right)^{\frac14}\exp\left(-\int_1^r\frac{(\theta^2s^\beta+\lambda_0)^{1/2}}{(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}ds\right)(1+\varepsilon_1(r)),$$

for any $r>0$ and some positive constant c.

Now, a direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\star,\theta}'(r)=\phi_{\star,\theta}(r)\bigg(&-\frac{N-1}{2r}+\frac{(\alpha-\beta)\theta^2r^{\alpha+\beta-1}+\alpha\lambda_0r^{\alpha-1}-\beta\theta^2r^{\beta-1}}{4(1+r^\alpha)(\theta^2r^\beta+\lambda_0)}\\&-\frac{(\theta^2r^\beta+\lambda_0)^{1/2}}{(1+r^\alpha)^{1/2}}+\frac{\varepsilon_1'(r)}{1+\varepsilon_1(r)}\bigg),\end{aligned}$$

for any $r>0$. Observing that the leading term (as $r\to\infty$) in the round brackets is the function $r\mapsto-(\theta^2r^\beta+\lambda_0)^{1/2}(1+r^\alpha)^{-1/2}$, the assertion follows at once. □

Theorem 3.9. *Assume that Hypotheses* 3.7 *are satisfied and let*

$$\Lambda:=\sup_{x,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}}|\xi|^{-2}\sum_{j,k=1}^Nq_{kj}(x)\xi_k\xi_j.$$

Then, for any $\theta\in(0,\Lambda^{-1/2})$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}p_\mu(t,x,y)\le&M_\theta e^{\lambda_{0,\theta}t}e^{c_\theta t^{-b}}|xy|^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{4}-\frac{N-1}{2}}\\&\times\exp\left(-\theta\int_1^{|x|}\frac{s^{\beta/2}}{(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}ds-\theta\int_1^{|y|}\frac{s^{\beta/2}}{(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}ds\right),\end{aligned}\qquad(3.11)$$?estim-pmu?

for any $t>0$ *and* $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,1)$, *where* M_θ, c_θ *are positive constants, and* $b>\frac{\beta+2}{\beta-2}$.

Proof. The assertion can be proved adapting the arguments in [17] to our situation. For the reader's convenience we give the main ideas of the proof and some details. To overcome cumbersome notations, throughout the proof we do not stress the dependence of the constants on θ.

Let us denote by ζ any positive and smooth function such that

$$\zeta(x)=|x|^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{4}-\frac{N-1}{2}}\exp\left(-\theta\int_1^{|x|}\frac{s^{\beta/2}}{(1+s^\alpha)^{1/2}}ds\right),\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,1).$$

Since the ratio $\phi_{0,\theta}^{-1}\zeta$ is bounded from below and above by two positive constants, proving (3.11) is equivalent to showing that

$$\zeta^{-1}(x)p_\mu(t,x,y)\zeta^{-1}(y)\le M_1e^{ct^{-b}}e^{\lambda_{0,\theta}t},\qquad t>0,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N,\qquad(3.12)$$?stima-nucleo-1?

for some positive constants M and c. Note that the left-hand side of (3.12) is the kernel of the semigroup $(\mathcal{I}_\zeta^{-1}e^{tB_\mu}\mathcal{I}_\zeta)_{t\ge0}$ in $L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}$, where $\mathcal{I}_\psi:L^2_{\psi^2\mu}\to L^2_\mu$ is the isometry defined by $\mathcal{I}_\psi f=f\psi$ for any $f\in L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}$ and any positive measurable function ψ. Clearly, this semigroup (which from now on we denote by $(e^{t\tilde{B}_\mu})_{t\ge0}$) is associated to the form $\tilde{b}_\mu$ on $L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}$, defined by $\tilde{b}_\mu(u,v)=b_\mu(\zeta u,\zeta v)$ for any $u,v\in D(\tilde{b}_\mu)=\{u:\zeta u\in D(b_\mu)\}$.

The main step of the proof consists in establishing (3.12) for $t\in(0,1]$. Indeed, once it is proved for $t\in(0,1]$, (3.12) can be extended to any $t>0$ arguing as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 3.5.

To establish (3.12) for $t\in(0,1]$, one has to prove the following facts:

(i) $u\wedge 1 := \min\{u,1\} \in D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta})$ (resp. $D(\tilde b_\mu)$) for any nonnegative $u \in D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta})$ (resp. $D(\tilde b_\mu)$);

(ii) the semigroup $(e^{t\tilde B_\mu})_{t\ge 0}$ and the semigroup $(e^{t\tilde A_{\mu,\theta}})_{t\ge 0}$, associated to the form $\tilde a_{\mu,\theta} = a_{\mu,\theta}(\zeta\cdot,\zeta\cdot)$ with domain $D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta}) = D(\tilde b_\mu)$, are positive, they map $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into itself and satisfy the estimates

$$\|e^{t\tilde A_{\mu,\theta}}\|_{L(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N))} \le e^{C_1 t}, \qquad \|e^{t\tilde A_{\mu,\theta}}\|_{L(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N))} \le e^{C_1 t},\ \ t>0,$$

for some positive constant C_1;

(iii) the Log-Sobolev inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2(\log u)\zeta^2 d\mu \le \varepsilon \tilde b_\mu(u,u) + \|u\|^2_{L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}} \log\|u\|_{L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}} + c(1+\varepsilon^{-b})\|u\|^2_{L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}}, \tag{3.13}$$
?LSI?

holds true for any nonnegative $u \in D(\tilde b_\mu)\cap L^1_{\zeta^2\mu}\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where c is the constant in (3.12).

From (3.13) it follows immediately that the form $\hat b_{\mu,\theta}(\cdot,\cdot) := \tilde b_{\mu,\theta}(\cdot,\cdot) + C_1(\cdot,\cdot)_{\zeta^2\mu}$, satisfies the Log-Sobolev inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2(\log u)\zeta^2 d\mu \le \varepsilon \hat b_\mu(u,u) + \|u\|^2_{L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}} \log\|u\|_{L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}} + c(1+\varepsilon^{-b})\|u\|^2_{L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}},$$

for any nonnegative $u \in D(\tilde b_\mu)\cap L^1_{\zeta^2\mu}\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and it is associated to the Markov semigroup $(e^{t(-C_1+\tilde B_\mu)})_{t\ge 0}$. Hence, combining [3, Lemma 2.1.2, Cor. 2.2.8 and Ex. 2.3.4], estimate (3.12) follows with $t\in(0,1]$.

Let us elaborate with some details the previous three points.

Property (i) is satisfied with the set $D := \{u : u\phi_{0,\theta} \in D(a_{\mu,\theta})\}$ instead of $D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta})$, as it follows from [16, Cor. 2.17] applied to the form $a_{\mu,\theta}(\phi_{0,\theta}\cdot,\phi_{0,\theta}\cdot)$, which has D as a domain, and it is associated to the L^∞-contractive semigroup $(\mathcal{J}^{-1}_{\phi_{0,\theta}} e^{tA_{\mu,\theta}}\mathcal{J}_{\phi_{0,\theta}})_{t\ge 0}$. On the other hand the spaces D and $D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta})$ coincide. This follows from recalling that the ratio $\phi^{-1}_{0,\theta}\zeta$ is bounded from below and above in $\mathbb{R}^N$ by two positive constants and from using Proposition 3.8 and a straightforward computation to estimate

$$|\nabla(\zeta^{-1}\phi_{0,\theta})| \le C_2(|\phi^{-1}_{0,\theta}\nabla\phi_{0,\theta}| + |\zeta^{-1}\nabla\zeta|) \le C_3 V^{1/2} \le C_3 W^{1/2},$$

for some positive constants C_2 and C_3. Hence, property (i) is satisfied by any nonnegative $u \in D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta})$. Since $D(\tilde b_\mu) \subset D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta})$ and $|W^{1/2}(u\wedge 1)| \le W^{1/2}|u|$ for any $u \in D(\tilde b_\mu)$, it is easy to check that property (ii) is satisfied also by any nonnegative $u \in D(\tilde b_\mu)$.

(ii) The positivity of the semigroups follows from [16, Thm. 2.6, "4) ⇒ 1)"]. To prove that they map $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into itself, it suffices to observe that the functions

$$V_a = \theta^2 V - \zeta^{-1}a\Delta\zeta, \qquad W_b = W - \zeta^{-1}a\sum_{i,j=1}^N D_i q_{ij} D_j\zeta - \zeta^{-1}a\sum_{i,j=1}^N q_{ij} D_{ij}\zeta,$$

are bounded from below by $-C_1$ and their moduli can be controlled by a constant times, respectively, the functions V and W. This and property (i) allow us to show that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde a_{\mu,\theta}(u\wedge 1,(u-1)^+) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_a(u\wedge 1)(u-1)^+\zeta^2 d\mu\\ &\ge -C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u\wedge 1)(u-1)^+\zeta^2 d\mu,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde b_{\mu,\theta}(v\wedge 1,(v-1)^+) =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W_b(v\wedge 1)(v-1)^+\zeta^2 d\mu\\ \geq& -C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(v\wedge 1)(v-1)^+\zeta^2 d\mu,\end{aligned}$$

for any nonnegative $u\in D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta})$ and $v\in D(\tilde b_\mu)$. Applying [16, Cor. 2.17, "3) $\Rightarrow$ 1)"] to the forms $\tilde a_{\mu,\theta}(\cdot,\cdot)+C_1(\cdot,\cdot)_{\zeta^2\mu}$ and $\tilde b_\mu(\cdot,\cdot)+C_1(\cdot,\cdot)_{\zeta^2\mu}$, and taking property (i) into account, (ii) follows.

(iii) Since $\tilde b_\mu(u,u)\geq \min\{\mu,\theta^{-1}\}\tilde a_{\mu,\theta}(u,u)$ for any $u\in D(\tilde b_\mu)\subset D(\tilde a_{\mu,\theta})$, it suffices to prove the Log-Sobolev inequality for the form $\tilde a_\mu$. For this purpose, we observe that the semigroup $(e^{t\tilde A_{\mu,\theta}})_{t\geq 0}$ is ultracontractive and it satisfies

$$\|e^{tA_{\mu,\theta}}\|_{L(L^2_{\zeta^2\mu},L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N))}\leq C_4e^{\lambda_{0,\theta}t}e^{ct^{-b}},\qquad t>0.$$

This property follows from the kernel estimate (3.10), which shows that the kernel $\tilde k_{\mu,\theta}$ associated to the semigroup $(e^{t\tilde A_{\mu,\theta}})_{t\geq 0}$ satisfies the estimate

$$\tilde k_{\mu,\theta}(t,x,y)=\zeta^{-1}(x)k_{\mu,\theta}(t,x,y)\zeta^{-1}(y)=\tilde k_{\mu,\theta}(t,x,y)\leq C_4e^{\lambda_{0,\theta}t+ct^{-b}},$$

for any $t>0$, any $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and some positive constant C_4, and the fact that $L^2_{\zeta^2\mu}$ is continuously embedded into $L^1_{\zeta^2\mu}$. We can thus apply [3, Thm. 2.2.3] (note that its proof works as well also in the case when the semigroup is not L^∞-contractive but its L^∞-norm is bounded on bounded sets of $[0,+\infty)$) obtaining (3.13) with $\tilde a_{\mu,\theta}$ replaced by $\tilde b_\mu$, and with c being replaced by a constant $\hat c$. ☐

Appendix A.

This appendix contains all technical results that we need to prove Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.5.

Proposition A.1. *Let $q:\mathbb{R}^N\to\mathbb{R}$ be a positive and continuous function such that $q(x)\leq C|x|^2$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and some positive constant C. Further, let $W\in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a nonnegative function. Then, for any $p\in(1,\infty)$, $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in the space $\mathcal{Z}:=\{u\in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N): q^{1/2}|\nabla u|, q|D^2u|, Wu\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$, endowed with the norm*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}=\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|Wu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|q^{1/2}|\nabla u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\|q|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Proof. Even if the proof can be obtained employing a standard argument, for the reader's convenience we enter details. As a first step, take $u\in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with compact support, and regularize it by convolution with standard mollifiers, obtaining a sequence $(u_n)\subset C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ converging to u in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $\mathrm{supp}(u_n)\subset\mathrm{supp}(u)+B_1$, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $q^{1/2}D_iu_n$, $qD^2_{ij}u_n$ and Wu_n converge, respectively, to $q^{1/2}D_iu$, $qD^2_{ij}u$ and Wu in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as n tends to ∞, for any $i,j=1,\ldots,N$. Hence, u_n tends to u in $\mathcal{Z}$. To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that any function $u\in\mathcal{Z}$ can be approximated in the $\mathcal{Z}$-norm by a sequence of compactly supported functions in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For this purpose, to any fixed $u\in\mathcal{Z}$ we associate the sequence (u_n) defined as follows: $u_n=u\vartheta_n$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, where $\vartheta_n(x)=\vartheta(n^{-1}x)$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and ϑ is a smooth function such that $\chi_{B_1}\leq\vartheta\leq\chi_{B_2}$. By dominated convergence, u_n and Wu_n tend to u and Wu in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, respectively. Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|q^{\frac12}|\nabla u_n-\nabla u|\,\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\leq& 2^{p-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}q^{\frac p2}|\nabla u|^p|\vartheta_n-1|^pdx\\ &+\frac{2^{p-1}}{n^p}\sup_{n\leq|x|\leq 2n}q(x)^{\frac p2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla\vartheta(n^{-1}\cdot)|^p|u|^pdx\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\leq & 2^{p-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q^{\frac{p}{2}}|\nabla u|^p|\vartheta_n-1|^p dx\\ &+2^{2p-1}C^{\frac{p}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla\vartheta(n^{-1}\cdot)|^p|u|^p dx.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that the last side of the previous chain of inequalities vanishes as n tends to ∞.

A completely similar computation shows that $qD_{ij}u_n$ tends to $qD_{ij}u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n\to\infty$, for any $i,j=1,\dots,N$. This completes the proof. ☐

The following interior L^p-estimates are crucial to prove that A_p is a sectorial operator in the case when $\alpha\in[0,1)$.

Proposition A.2. *Fix $p\in(1,\infty)$ and let L be a uniformly second-order elliptic operator in non-divergence form with bounded and continuous coefficients. Further assume that the diffusion coefficients are γ-Hölder continuous for some $\gamma\in(0,1)$. Then, there exists a positive constant ω such that, for any $r>0$ and any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with* $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\geq\omega$,

$$\|\,|D^2u|\,\|_{L^p(B_r)}\leq M_r\left(\|\lambda u-Lu\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}+\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}\right),\tag{A.1}$$

?stima-interna-hessiano?

for any $u\in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and some positive constant M_r, which depends on r, the sup-norm of the coefficients of the operator L, the ellipticity constant, the modulus of continuity of the diffusion coefficients of L, but it is independent of u and λ.

Proof. It is well-known that there exist two positive constants ω and C such that

$$\|\,|D^2v|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\leq C\|\lambda v-Lv\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},\tag{A.2}$$

?stima-globale-hessiano?

for any $v\in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\geq\omega$ (see e.g., [7, Thm. 3.1.3]).

Let us fix $r>0$ and, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, set $r_n=2r(1-2^{-n-1})$. Clearly, $r_0=r$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}r_n=2r$. Let (φ_n) be a sequence of smooth functions such that $\chi_{B_{r_n}}\leq\varphi_n\leq\chi_{B_{r_{n+1}}}$, $|\nabla\varphi_n|\leq 2^n cr^{-1}$, $|D^2\varphi_n|\leq 4^n cr^{-2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and some positive constant c. Fix $u\in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and apply estimate (A.2) to the function $v_n=u\varphi_n$. We get

$$\|\,|D^2v_n|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\leq C_r\left(\|\lambda u-Lu\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}+4^n\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}+2^n\|\,|\nabla v_{n+1}|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right).\tag{A.3}$$

?stima-interna-1?

The constant appearing in (A.3), as well as in all the forthcoming estimates, are all independent of λ, u and n. Using the interpolation inequality (2.9), and recalling that $\|v_{n+1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\leq\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}$, we can estimate

$$\|\,|\nabla v_{n+1}|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\leq c_N\varepsilon\|\,|D^2v_{n+1}|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+\frac{c_N}{4\varepsilon}\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2r})},$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$. Plugging this inequality into (A.3) and choosing $\varepsilon=2^{-n-4}(C_rc_N)^{-1}$, we get

$$\|\,|D^2v_n|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\leq\frac{1}{16}\|\,|D^2v_{n+1}|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}+C_r'\left(\|\lambda u-Lu\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}+4^n\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}\right).$$

Let us multiply both the sides of the previous inequality by 16^{-n} and then sum over $n=0,\dots,M$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\,|D^2v_0|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}-\frac{1}{16^{M+1}}\|\,|D^2v_{M+1}|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\ \leq & C_r''\|\lambda u-Lu\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}+C_r''\|u\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}.\end{aligned}$$

The assumptions on φ_n imply that $\|\,|D^2v_{M+1}|\,\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\leq C4^{M+1}\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ for some positive constant C, independent of M. Hence, we obtain (A.1) letting $M\to\infty$, recalling that $v_0=u$ in B_r. ☐

References

`libro` [1] M. Bertoldi, L. Lorenzi, Analytical Methods for Markov Semigroups, Chapman Hall/CRC, Boca Raton FL, 2007.

`cupini-fornaro` [2] G. Cupini, S. Fornaro, *Maximal regularity in* $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *for a class of elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients*, Diff. Int. Eqs. **17** (2004), 259–296.

`davies` [3] E.B. Davies, Heat kernels and spectral theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

`DS58` [4] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part I: General Theory, New York, Wiley 1958.

`fornaro-lorenzi` [5] S. Fornaro, L. Lorenzi, *Generation results for elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients in* L^p*- and* C_b*-spaces*, Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst. A **18** (2007), pp. 747–772.

`gilbarg` [6] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Reprint of the 1998 edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001.

`lunardi` [7] A. Lunardi, Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

`MPW` [8] G. Metafune, D. Pallara, M. Wacker, *Feller semigroups in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Semigroup Forum **65** (2002), 59–205.

`metafune-spina-0` [9] G. Metafune, C. Spina, *An integration by parts formula in Sobolev spaces*, Mediterranean J. Math. **5** (2008), 357–369.

`metafune-spina-1` [10] G. Metafune, C. Spina, *Kernel estimates for a class of Schrödinger semigroups*, J. Evol. Equ. **7** (2007), 719–742.

`metafune-spina-2` [11] G. Metafune, C. Spina, *Elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients in* L^p *spaces*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Classe Scienze (to appear).

`metafune-spina-3` [12] G. Metafune, C. Spina, *Heat kernel estimates for some elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients*, Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst. (to appear).

`nagel86` [13] R. Nagel (ed.), One-Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators, Lecture Notes in Math. **1184**, Springer-Verlag 1986. 677–701.

`okazawa-2` [14] N. Okazawa, *An* L^p *theory for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **36** (1984), 675–688.

`olver` [15] F.W.J Olver, Asymptotics and special functions, Academic Press, New York, 1974.

`ouhabaz` [16] E.M. Ouhabaz, Analysis of heat equations on domains, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser., **31**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2004.

`ouhabaz-rhandi` [17] E.M. Ouhabaz, A. Rhandi, *Kernel and eigenfunction estimates for second order elliptic operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **387** (2012), 799-806.

`pazy` [18] A. Pazy, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

`triebel` [19] H. Triebel, Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 53/A, I-43124 PARMA, Italy.
E-mail address: `luca.lorenzi@unipr.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Salerno, Via Ponte Don Melillo, 84084 FISCIANO (Sa), Italy.
E-mail address: `arhandi@unisa.it`